

## THE MARTHA'S VINEYARD COMMISSION

BOX 1447  
OAK BLUFFS  
MASSACHUSETTS  
02557

DATE: August 5, 1977  
TO: Tisbury Building Official  
FROM: Martha's Vineyard Commission  
SUBJECT: DEVELOPMENT OF REGIONAL IMPACT DECISION  
RE: COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT  
APPLICANT: H.N. Hinckley & Sons, Inc.

-----  
SUMMARY

The Tisbury Building Official is granted approval by the Martha's Vineyard Commission to grant the necessary development permits for the development proposal of H.N. Hinckley & Sons, Inc. The Tisbury Building Official may approve the development proposal, approve the plan with conditions, or disapprove the plan.

DECISION OF THE MARTHA'S VINEYARD COMMISSION

A Public Hearing was held on August 4, 1977 by the Martha's Vineyard Commission ("the Commission"), at 8:15 p.m. upon public notice to consider the application of H.N. Hinckley & Sons, Inc. (the "Applicant") for a plan approval, (the "Application") in the town of Tisbury. The application is for an addition to an existing structure for storage and placement of a 6' chain link fence (the "Application"). This application is for an existing floor area greater than 3000 square feet and is thus a Development of Regional Impact under the Criteria and Standards, Development of Regional Impact Checklist Section 2.30. This application was referred to the Commission for action pursuant to Chapter 637, Acts of 1974, as amended (the "Act"). Said "Application" is incorporated herein.

At the Hearing held pursuant to said Chapter 637 and Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 30A, Section 2, David Thompson, staff member of the Commission, delivered a presentation concerning the probable benefits and detriments of said "Application".

Under Sections 15 and 16 of the Act, the Commission is required to make findings after its review of the development proposal. In this matter, the Commission has considered each factor enumerated in these sections of the Act.

The Commission finds as described herein that the probable benefits from the proposed development will exceed the probable detriments. The Commission also finds that the proposal will not interfere substantially with the achievement of any general plan of Dukes County or of the Town of Tisbury.

The Commission finds that the general layout of the development proposal will be more beneficial than detrimental when compared to alternative manners of development and development occurring in alternative locations.

BY VOTE OF THE COMMISSION

August 4, 1977

*George H. Mathiesen*  
George H. Mathiesen, Chairman

*Norman F. Rildman*  
Notary Public

my commission expires: 11/10/83



Edgartown, Mass. August 16 1977  
at 10 o'clock and 07 minutes A M  
Received and entered with Dukes County Deeds  
book 349 Page 25.

Attest: *Suzerly W. King*  
Register