1. DRI Checklist Review

**Discussion**
- We should be looking at things that are regional.
- What else would we look at in Concurrence?
  - For Modifications whether they are in conformance with previous DRI Decision.
- Maybe we should create some guidelines that define what is and what is not a concurrence.
- But for now we agree that we should be making more items on a concurrence basis. Yes.
- We should create some more guidelines. We do have Attachment A that could be revised.
- Such as energy. Is the project considering energy efficiency and renewable energy? Everything new should be considering efficiency.
- Pete Cabana and Mark London were designated a subcommittee to look at Attachment A.

**Review of LUPC Changes to DRI Checklist**
- **3.102b**
  - Take out b entirely. With 3.102A we can take out “and which was denied”. So if it was denied or was never built then it is no longer a DRI. The wordsmith committee (ML and CB) will work out the wording.
- **3.105**
  - For 3.105 we have alternative wording that Mimi Davisson did.
  - Why is Noman’s island on there? It is part of the Minerals and Mining Agency and could be a potential area for energy development.
  - A commissioner said they like the existing 3.105 because they are afraid that roads and driveways would slip through the cracks.
  - It might also have the affect that instead of making their project smaller they might say well if I am going to the MVC anyway I might as well make it as big as I can get away with.
  - By consensus the LUPC decided to leave 3.105 as it is.
- In 2.203 we made it 25 years instead of 5 years.
- In 3.204 we made it 10 acres instead of 15 acres.
- In 3.204 we made it since 1974 instead of 8 years.
- In 3.301b we said that Linda Sibley would rework that. We want to encourage residential space with permanent restrictions.
- In 3.501 why do we say within three miles of the shore?
What does the new part of the exemption number 2 under 3.501 mean? “Other than the creation of new mooring basins… Is it really something that the commission needs to get into? Improvement dredging should come out for sure. Leave in new mooring basins. Move “new mooring basins” up to the body of 3.501 after such as piers…”

- You might want to ask yourself what does intensity of use
- LUPC decided to ask DRI Coordinator Paul Foley to do a draft of what LUPC has done so far and send it around including incorporating the three items we adopted in February.
- Chairman Brown also asked Commissioner Richard Toole to look over the entire document and think about light changes and plug holes.
- Commissioner Pete Cabana and Executive Director Mark London will be looking at Attachment A
- The Process for revising the DRI Checklist would be:
  - MVC vote
  - Public Hearing
  - Send to towns
  - Send to Executive Secretary of Environmental Affairs.

Adjourned 6:30