

Fw: 112 dukes county

Gail Barmakian <gailmaritza@yahoo.com>

Thu 8/12/2021 4:49 PM

To: Alex Elvin <elvin@mvcommission.org>;

 4 attachments

112 Dukes County Scans.pdf; 112 Dukes County Scans.pdf; Minutes 2.17.21 Draft (1).docx; Minutes 3.24.21.pdf;

i am forwarding minutes of the meeting. There is no official approval or vote for number of bedrooms at 112 Dukes Avenue. The applicant withdrew the application so as not to get a denial. It was stated in the record at the last meeting that the Commissioners considered that the applicant may be allowed three bedrooms without any other retail use. The application in front of the Board at the time did not resemble what is before the Commission.

Best I can do. The WW Commissioners do not use the Board of Assessor's records to determine number of bedrooms as it not an official count and rarely accurate.

Thank you,

Gail Barmakian

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Patrick Hickey <phickey@oakbluffsma.gov>
To: gailmaritza@yahoo.com <gailmaritza@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, August 9, 2021, 9:18:40 AM EDT
Subject: Re: 112 dukes county

See attached. It what we had in our file, what other departments had in their file, and the minutes for the two meeting they were at.

Thank you,
Patrick Hickey
Facilities Manager
Oak Bluffs Wastewater
Office: 508 693 0343
Cell: 508 560 9998



From: Gail Barmakian <gailmaritza@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, August 6, 2021 5:13 PM
To: Patrick Hickey <phickey@oakbluffsma.gov>
Subject: Fw: 112 dukes county

can you get me the minutes of all the meetings? you said that last we saw, we consider thee was two bedrooms and 900 ft retail space in original house?

thanks, gail

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Gail Barmakian <gailmaritza@yahoo.com>
To: Alex Elvin <elvin@mvcommission.org>
Sent: Friday, August 6, 2021, 5:12:47 PM EDT
Subject: Re: 112 dukes county

OK. I am chairperson. I will write what we considered to happen. To be clear, we never made a decision on anything formally because they withdrew their application. What we say in discussion is NOT a decision I am comfortable with representing as fact. I can write what we had on record as to what pre existed. I asked Adam to consider putting it off and having them come to Wastewater for the determination to make official.

My understanding is that there are very different plans they have now. Our question is not so much what flow they have but will they generate more flow in whatever new plan they come up with than the prior use.

On Friday, August 6, 2021, 5:01:04 PM EDT, Alex Elvin <elvin@mvcommission.org> wrote:

Hi Gail,

Thanks again for the clarifications. Just so this is absolutely clear in the record, could you please have the Wastewater Commission chair (I'm not sure if that is you) send me a brief letter stating the number of bedrooms in the former building, as understood by the Wastewater Commission, and why and when the application was withdrawn?

Thanks,

Alex

Alex Elvin
Development of Regional Impact (DRI) Coordinator
Martha's Vineyard Commission
The Olde Stone Building
33 New York Avenue
Oak Bluffs, MA 02557
(774) 563-5363

From: Gail Barmakian <gailmaritza@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 5, 2021 12:47 PM
To: Alex Elvin
Subject: Re: 112 dukes county

Hi Alex: We already spoke this AM. WW Commissioners never rely on the assessor's records for # of bedrooms but may use it to confirm other more reliable data. The number is are not based on inspections. You should call Mac for the explanation. The WW Commissioners never made a decision regarding how may bedrooms were allowed and may be taken out of context. The project before us back in February was very different from what is before you now and my understanding is that it has been changed several times. .

I would appreciate if there was no representation before the Commissioners at this time about what OB Wastewater would allow or not at this point in light of inconsistencies in the plans. My memory of the home that was demolished was two bedrooms- but that is not an official determination.
Thank you, Gail Barmakian

On Wednesday, August 4, 2021, 6:58:47 PM EDT, Alex Elvin <elvin@mvcommission.org> wrote:

In regard to the previous number of bedrooms, the assessor records show 4 bedrooms, which was also stated in the March 24 WW commission minutes, although the property was only permitted for 2. Is this correct? (See my earlier response below as well.)

Alex

Alex Elvin
Development of Regional Impact (DRI) Coordinator
Martha's Vineyard Commission
The Olde Stone Building
33 New York Avenue
Oak Bluffs, MA 02557
(774) 563-5363

From: Alex Elvin
Sent: Wednesday, August 4, 2021 6:54 PM

To: Gail Barmakian
Subject: Re: 112 dukes county

Hi Gail,

The plans presented to the MVC and posted on the DRI webpage show a new building with 3 bedrooms. ([Click here](#), and scroll to the end of the document to see the plans.) This was also discussed at the LUPC meeting on July 12. We were provided minutes of the wastewater commission meetings on Feb. 17 and March 24, where it was indicated that 3 bedrooms could be permitted. I was told that Adam had also spoken with the town to confirm. I was not aware the project had been withdrawn at the town level, but I can add that to the revised staff notes for tomorrow. Let me know if you have any other questions.

Thanks,

Alex

Alex Elvin
Development of Regional Impact (DRI) Coordinator
Martha's Vineyard Commission
The Olde Stone Building
33 New York Avenue
Oak Bluffs, MA 02557
(774) 563-5363

From: Gail Barmakian <gailmaritza@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 4, 2021 5:23 PM
To: Alex Elvin
Subject: 112 dukes county

Hi Alex:

112 Dukes County is before you and there is a report about sewer capacity that the # of bedrooms is down from 4 to three. Where did this info come from? For future reference, the question to the Town Department should come officially from MVC with a copy of the proposed plan in front of the Commission and not left to the applicant to get the answer. There is miscommunication going on and misinformation spreading. We never saw the plan before you and did not make a decision because the plan they submitted to us they withdrew.

Thanks, Gail