

Adam Turner

From: Robert Strayton <robstrayton@comcast.net>
Sent: Wednesday, October 25, 2017 7:40 PM
To: Paul Foley; Adam Turner
Cc: Douglas Finn
Subject: Usage Data & Externally Mounted Radiation Centers
Attachments: AT&T_006332 copy.pdf; AT&T_006158 .pdf

Adam, Paul & Doug

Attache are two emails I would like entered into the public record. The one from Adam Darack asking for usage data has been submitted, but I do not see it online. Please add that to the public documents.

Second is an email chain, but in that chain is an email from Dan Bilzekian to Georgiana Greenough and Roger Becker. In it Mr. Bilzekian promises that AT&T would use stealth technology or some form of concealment. That passage was highlighted by someone at some pint, but I believe, when taken with the Darack email shows that no waiver should be granted for externally mounted radiation centers, and that we must hold Bilzekian (the applicant named on this project) at his word to use, at the very least, some form of visual mitigation techniques, if not stealth, internally mounted radiation centers as he has guaranteed in the attached email.

As I have mentioned to each of you, I will be submitting additional emails between AT&T, it's legal department in Dallas, senior executives in New England, AT&T Board members the Town of Edgartown and AT&T consultants. These all need to be entered into the public record so that the citizens of Edgartown, Martha's Vineyard, , and the rest of the country can see for themselves the inner workings of a telecommunications company, like AT&T and its interests in the health, well-being and quite enjotment of its very customers and neighbors.

Thank ou for your time and attention to these important maters.

Sincerely,
Robert Strayton
Chappaquiddick Rd
Edgartown, MA

Message

From: KLEIN, ROBERT [/O=SBC/OU=MOMAIL/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=RK8968]
Sent: 8/14/2015 5:07:56 PM
To: SYME, CAMERON [/O=SBC/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=C56970263]; MASON, KEVIN [/O=SBC/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=KM8621d58]
CC: RATHORE, DEEPAK [/O=SBC/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=Dr701e]
Subject: RE: Chappaquiddick call prep

Would suggest we send these 3 since the first two are what was discussed in the call (we didn't really get into existing coverage). And the 3rd shows what the Katama site would cover, looks like it would do a good job on the Southern beaches area.

Should the "Reliable Coverage" be changed to something like "Predicted Indoor Coverage"? Thinking Reliable may be a subjective measure.

Don't have any external directed deck template. Kevin: Something you'd have for decks that have gone out before?

Let me know if you guys agree with all this.

Grain Tower Location 180'



Grain Tower Location 100'



Katama Farm Silo Coverage



From: SYME, CAMERON
Sent: Friday, August 14, 2015 12:26 PM
To: KLEIN, ROBERT; MASON, KEVIN
Cc: RATHORE, DEEPAK
Subject: RE: Chappaquiddick call prep

Robert/Kevin,

Coverage plots from RMAP attached.

I have included all variations, please review and select what we want to send.

Also – I have been unable to find an AT&T External ppt template(only have Internal). If you provide I can copy into.

Cameron

From: KLEIN, ROBERT
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2015 9:47 AM
To: MASON, KEVIN; SYME, CAMERON
Subject: RE: Chappaquiddick call prep

Cool thanks. Do you have the pics that Dan sent? Just curious, not needed if they were no longer attached.

From: MASON, KEVIN
Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2015 9:40 AM
To: KLEIN, ROBERT; SYME, CAMERON
Subject: RE: Chappaquiddick call prep

They are familiar with stealth sites and I think “unipole” or “flagpole” has been mentioned. Below is an excerpt from an email exchange from back when we were working the ring in 2012 where our consultant mentions stealth.

From: Dan Bilezikian
Sent: Wednesday, April 04, 2012 4:44 PM
To: 'Georgiana Greenough'; Roger Becker
Cc: Willgeresy@aol.com; alanowilson@msn.com; brine@valley.net; rgurnitz@aol.com; ChThacher@yahoo.com; dsgoldin@verizon.net; edo@pmouse.com; evelvincent@verizon.net; fclay_2000@yahoo.com; jvarkonda@edgartown-ma.us; margaret02539@yahoo.com; peter@chappyferry.net
Subject: RE: Meeting with AT&T Site Consultant re:non-DAS options for wireleson Chappy

Georgiana,

Per our conversation yesterday, my objective is to present you with some food for thought regarding alternatives to an oDAS. From an economic standpoint there are many negatives associated with construction of an oDAS on

Chappaquiddick, not the least of which is the lack of vertical infrastructure. This negative spans both economics and aesthetics because it would necessitate the reintroduction of utility poles, 10, 15 or however many a viable design would call for, whereas one macro site could be located and designed to provide acceptable coverage to most, if not all, of the island.

AT&T would propose a macro site fully concealed in one aesthetically pleasing structure. Stealth architecture for antenna concealment is as varied as you can imagine. I've attached photos of some of the more creative ones for your consideration. Many of the examples presented are California sites and some of them would not be appropriate for Chappy, but I've included them just to give you an idea of the variety that's out there. AT&T would work with the Town and design professionals to come up with something uniquely suitable for this location.

AT&T RF engineers suggest considering a location at the Chappy Fire House or Town owned parcel 35-11. In order for RF to determine the exact height that would be necessary, a drive test, or several in different locations, would need to be conducted in the summer months when leaves are on the trees.

If I can be of any further assistance, or if you have any questions, please don't hesitate to contact me.

Best regards,

Dan Bilezikian

Site Acquisition Specialist

SAI Communications, Inc.

[401.368.0006](tel:401.368.0006)

From: KLEIN, ROBERT

Sent: Thursday, August 13, 2015 9:28 AM

To: SYME, CAMERON; MASON, KEVIN

Subject: RE: Chappaquiddick call prep

Kevin,

Do you have any insight as to whether the residents and/or town is imagining a typical monopole or if they all understand what stealth sites can look like? Monopine, stealth silo, flag pole... If they are really mainly interested in us and VZ then a two carrier stealth solution seems like it would be the best aesthetic option and would only need to house two carriers. We obviously have examples where we've already done good stealth sites.

Thanks,

Robert

From: SYME, CAMERON
Sent: Wednesday, August 12, 2015 6:09 PM
To: KLEIN, ROBERT
Subject: Fwd: Chappaquiddick call prep

FYI... For tomorrow's discussion

Begin forwarded message:

From: "MASON, KEVIN" <KM8621@att.com>
Date: August 12, 2015 at 5:57:38 PM EDT
To: "SYME, CAMERON" <cs6970@att.com>
Cc: "THOMSON, BROOKE M" <bt5930@att.com>, "SHANNON, JOSEPH P" <js732s@att.com>
Subject: Chappaquiddick call prep

Cameron,

Following up on our conversation here are some of the players that will be on the call tomorrow. Adam is sending the questions but I have not seen them yet – will forward as soon as I get them.

Host

- **Adam Darack** – IT Manager, Town of Edgartown – in charge of RFP release – arranged call on behalf of Committee, understands the issues due to his involvement in both RFP's – DAS & Tower and process with Grain and other discussions with other carriers – considers AT&T and Verizon as the main players. Stated that after they were ready to select Grain as the winner of the Tower RFP he received 90 emails – 70 opposed to tower; 10 neutral; 10 positive for tower (coverage). Committee has until 8/22/2015 to formally accept Grain bid. This committee is reviewing the DAS vs. Tower options and trying to gauge carrier interest.

Committee

- **Woody Filley**, Chairman – no position stated yet – open minded, very respected leader of the group
- **Roger Becker**, Chappaquiddick Island Association president – main opposition voice to tower; will fight tower tooth & nail, stirred up opponents already; nice but tough; has a following; came to process adversarial but has calmed down some.
- **Bob Gurnitz** – seems to be a proponent of a tower; MIT engineer; helped with technical details of RFP for DAS.
- **Chris Kennedy**, the Martha's Vineyard Superintendent for The Trustees of the Reservations (TOR). TOR runs the beaches – Chris Kennedy's interests are all related to improving coverage at the beaches – E911 calls, etc. Suspects that a DAS might be good for people's home needs but will leave him without coverage at the beaches.
- **Jonathan Cobb** – on DAS side but not as vocal, close to neutral

Other

- **Lionel Spiro** – has come to a couple of the committee meetings – vocal anti-tower, will focus on history of Chappaquiddick and possible title issues related to Town owned property (e.g. deed restrictions)

These are some of the questions we discussed but they will be re-arranged to put the more technical stuff on top – and this is what we will want to focus on and for you to discuss with them. Adam should be sending these out to us soon.

- 1) How interested is AT&T in signing on to co-locate? (Expect skeptical response based on our history of backing away from Katama silos)
- 2) How do your present and next year's budgets play into your ability to collocate?
- 3) Would you sign on to a neutral host DAS (reasons cost or coverage?)

- 4) How would AT&T deal with the permitting and licenses (I will explain that we would expect Grain to lead this effort for a multi-carrier tower but that a license is preferred for standing purposes)
- 5) Can we see maps of coverage? (Atoll live or power-point slides of the current primary – Parcel 35-11 would be a good reference point – street names or hybrid maps are helpful if they start discussing specific areas)
- 6) If there is a long term solution coming but not ready now, would AT&T consider using a COW for temporary coverage? (seems like they got this idea from Verizon for Katama area)
- 7) What is the best coverage for the beach areas?
- 8) Is there a “voice-only” solution just for emergency coverage? (sounds like an interim step, last resort)

Here is a recent article on this committee/issue: <http://www.mvtimes.com/2015/06/09/edgartown-punts-chappy-wireless-tower-to-new-committee/>

Thanks.

Kevin

desk: 508-271-8328

mobile: 508-202-2854

km8621@att.com

Message

From: MASON, KEVIN [/O=SBC/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=KM8621D58]
Sent: 4/22/2016 8:41:54 PM
To: Adam Darack [adarack@edgartown-ma.us]
Subject: RE: Chappy Store - Map 31/Lot 30 - 219 Chappaquiddick Road - may be off Jeffers Lane?

Thank you Adam.

Yes, I will check on the data collection for sure – I am sure we do it routinely, but I will make sure everybody is aware that we will need it for the permanent zoning process.

Kevin

From: Adam Darack [mailto:adarack@edgartown-ma.us]
Sent: Friday, April 22, 2016 2:50 PM
To: MASON, KEVIN <KM8621@att.com>
Subject: Re: Chappy Store - Map 31/Lot 30 - 219 Chappaquiddick Road - may be off Jeffers Lane?

Hi Kevin-

I just sent an email asking the assessor's office about that parcel & contact info for the owner of it.

Is there any way to track the traffic that will access the temporary tower this summer? I was thinking it might be helpful in the future to be able to associate number of devices utilizing the tower to strengthen the argument against Strayton's notion that hardly any AT&T customers even go to Chappy. Crazy statement on his part but I'm looking to be able to respond to each of his statements if needed. I'm sure there will be a lot of traffic but would be great to be able to say (only if needed further along in the permanent tower process) "over the summer an average of X people accessed the AT&T antennas daily on the tower".

Thanks
Adam

Adam Darack
IT Manager
Town of Edgartown
508-627-6198

On Fri, Apr 22, 2016 at 2:33 PM, MASON, KEVIN <KM8621@att.com> wrote:

Hello Adam,

Quick question for you - the "Chappy Store" property was mentioned in the last hearing. I am trying to figure out the owner - I can find it in the GIS, but not the Assessor's. Looking to confirm the correct address and owner...

[[cid:image003.jpg@01D19CA4.010C06B0](#)]

This is something we need to list in our alternate site analysis for the permanent location. Thanks for confirming the correct Assessor's info for me.

Kevin
desk: [508-271-8328](tel:508-271-8328)
mobile: [508-202-2854](tel:508-202-2854)
km8621@att.com<<mailto:km8621@att.com>>