

## Paul Foley

---

**From:** Robert Strayton [robstrayton@comcast.net]  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 12, 2017 4:36 PM  
**To:** Paul Foley  
**Cc:** Robert Strayton  
**Subject:** Document for the record  
**Attachments:** AT&T\_006158 2.pdf; ATT00001.txt

Hi Paul,

Hope you enjoyed your time away? Wish I could say my time away was enjoyable, but I had some issues hanging over my head, like a 140-foot cell tower!

I had asked that the Commissioners inquire about usage data for the temporary tower. Dan Goulet and Brian Grossman have claimed that a permanent tower would require external radiation centers because they require "additional capacity" on the tower.

My question was whether there was ever a time the current temporary tower, with its internally mounted radiation centers every reached capacity and prevented someone from being able to make voice and data calls, and the date, time and duration of that maximum capacity? I do not believe that question was asked and do not believe any answer was forthcoming from AT&T?

I would like to enter the following email into the record as proof that AT&T does, seemingly, maintain usage records regarding its towers, and that that usage data was specifically requested by Adam Darrack to counter my "crazy claims" that a tower that served no more than 1-in-3 cell phones would have limited usefulness as a public safety tool when statistically, at least 2/3rds of all the cell phones on Chappy, at any given time, would be unable to connect to the temporary tower.

That said, I really think we should have the usage data for the tower, and AT&T really should demonstrate it truly needs externally mounted radiation centers to provide the 'additional capacity' it claims for this waiver of the Edgartown Bylaws.

Thank you for your time and attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,  
Rob Strayton  
Chappy Rd  
Edgartown