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## INTRODUCTION

## Project Site

The project site is located on Masonic Avenue, Oak Bluffs, Massachusetts. It consists of Parcels 193 and 195 containing a total area of approximately 19,523 square feet. The boundary on the Dukes County Road side of the property is 81 feet and the frontage on Masonic Avenue is 244 feet. The site location is presented in Figure 1 and is the area on Masonic Avenue between Masonic Avenue and Warwick Avenue. The Denniston Building, former home of the Bradley Memorial Church and presently vacant, is located on Parcel 195. Parcel 193 is zoned B-1 Commercial District, and Parcel 195 is comprised of B-1 Commercial and R-1 Residential Districts. Two art galleries are located immediately north of the Dukes County Avenue and Masonic Avenue intersection and in close proximity to the proposed project.

## Study Methodology

This study methodology consists of four general phases, beginning with a field visit to view the site and observe traffic operations at key intersections in proximity to the Masonic Avenue site. An assessment of existing parking opportunities on the site and on adjacent roadways was also conducted.

In the second phase, existing traffic volumes (No-Build Conditions) were quantified through the installation of automatic traffic recorders (ATR) at strategic locations within the study area. Manual turning movement (MTM) counts were conducted at key intersections. Other existing conditions, such as vehicular crash history, sight distances, and traffic control devices, were also quantified.

The third phase estimates, to the extent possible, the likely activities (Build Conditions) at the proposed Bradley Square development and an estimated change in traffic and parking demands resulting from the proposed development. Traffic count data are factored to a summer season to reflect the additional background traffic generated by summer residents and visitors and then factored to a 2010 level. The estimate of trips generated by the forecast of activities at Bradley Square are added to the forecast
build-out year (2010) conditions and traffic operations analyses are conducted for the key intersections.

The final phase addresses conclusions and mitigation action suggestions regarding the potential impact of improvements at Bradley Square, specifically as related to traffic and parking.

## EXISTING CONDITIONS

## Roadway System

The Bradley Square development property has its northerly frontage on Masonic Avenue. The westerly boundary of the site is Dukes County Avenue. Residential properties make up the easterly and southerly boundaries.

The Masonic Avenue pavement is approximately 20 feet wide within a 40 foot right-of-way. The roadway connects to Dukes County Avenue at its westerly end and to Circuit Avenue at the easterly end. Circuit Avenue is located one residential property

Figure 1 Bradley Square Site Location

removed from the proposed Bradley Square development. Dukes County Avenue and Circuit Avenue are major north-south roadways in Oak Bluffs, and Vineyard Avenue is a major east west roadway.

In addition to the Dukes County Avenue and Vineyard Avenue intersections with Masonic Avenue, the intersection of Dukes County Avenue and Vineyard Avenue is included in the study as an intersection of interest in terms of traffic operations. The intersection is located approximately 110 feet north of the intersection of Masonic Avenue and Dukes County Avenue.

Vineyard Avenue has a curbed sidewalk on the northerly side. There are no sidewalks on Dukes County Avenue in the project area. On Circuit Avenue, there is a curbed sidewalk on the easterly side and to the south of Masonic Avenue.

## Traffic Control Devices

An inventory of traffic control devices was conducted at the three key intersections. The findings are as follow:

- Masonic Avenue, Dukes County Avenue, and Pocasset Avenue
- stop sign on Masonic Avenue
- stop sign on Pocasset Avenue
- painted stop-bar is barely visible on the pavement
- STOP legend is barely visible on the pavement
- 25 mph speed sign on northbound Dukes County Avenue
- Masonic Avenue and Circuit Avenue
- stop sign on Masonic Avenue
- painted stop-bar is barely visible on the pavement
- SHARE THE ROAD bicycle sign on Circuit Avenue south of Masonic Avenue
- Vineyard Avenue and Dukes County Avenue
- stop signs on three approaches
- NO PARKING HERE TO CORNER signs both sides of Vineyard Avenue and on Dukes County Avenue southbound both sides of the intersection
- double yellow center line on Vineyard Avenue
- double yellow center line on Dukes County Avenue
- Vineyard Avenue is posted for a speed of 30 mph


## Traffic Counts

Automatic Traffic Recorders:
Automatic traffic recorders (ATR) were installed from March 21 to March 27 at the following locations:

- Masonic Avenue, between Dukes County Avenue and Circuit Avenue
- Dukes County Avenue between Masonic Avenue and Vineyard Avenue
- Circuit Avenue between Masonic Avenue and Warwick Avenue

The traffic data were recorded to establish a 2008 No-Build existing base condition for the hours during which unit owners are most probably exiting and entering the buildings. A morning (AM) time of 7:00 to 8:00, an evening (PM) time of 5:00 to 6:00, and a Saturday Mid-Day time of 11:00 to 12:00 were selected. These times are assumed peak hours of the trip generator (Bradley Square) rather than the peak hour of traffic on adjacent streets. The 24-hour count data are contained in the Appendix.

## Manual Turning Movement Counts:

Manual turning movement (MTM) counts were conducted for the March 2008 peak weekday hour (AM and PM) and the Saturday Mid-Day peak hour at the intersections of:

- Masonic Avenue/Pocasset Avenue/Circuit Avenue
- Masonic Avenue/Dukes County Road
- Dukes County Road/Vineyard Avenue

Other traffic studies conducted on the Vineyard have used a factor of 2.6 to adjust
off-season traffic counts to a summer season level. Therefore, the existing March 2008 turning movement counts for the three peak periods were increased by a factor of 2.6 to represent 2008 summer traffic. The results are presented in Figure 2.

Figure 22008 No-Build Summer Feak Turning Movements
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## Vehicle Speeds

Speeds were recorded at three locations over an eleven-day period in March, 2008.
The average of vehicles traveling at or under 30 mph during the observation period for each of the locations follows:

Masonic Avenue - 85 percent
Circuit Avenue - 63 percent
Dukes County Avenue - 99 percent
The high percentage of speeds under 30 mph on Dukes County Avenue is a result of the threeway stop conditions at the Vineyard Avenue intersection where vehicles are either accelerating or decelerating at the point where the speeds were recorded.

## Sight Distance

Sight distance observations were made at the Masonic Avenue/Dukes County Avenue, the intersection most affected by the physical location Bradley Square project buildings. This location is assessed because of the construction of the Bradley I building, which has a proposed building setback at the right front corner of approximately nine (9) feet from the property line at Dukes County Avenue. On the northeast corner of the intersection, the front of the Periwinkle Studio is approximately four (4) feet from edge of Dukes County Avenue pavement and a fence is less than two (2) off the pavement edge.

The intersection sight distance (ISD) for vehicles exiting a side street onto a roadway with an average speed of 30 mph is 110 feet. This distance provides the entering vehicle driver the time required to react and accelerate to safely merge (right turn) or cross (left turn) oncoming traffic.

On Masonic Avenue (Figure 3), at the intersection and looking left, the alignment of Dukes County Avenue is straight with a slight rise and is in view a distance of over 110 feet. Drivers exiting Masonic Avenue at Dukes County Avenue must almost enter the intersection to have a clear view southerly because of trees. Looking to the right, Dukes County Avenue is relatively straight and level for a distance beyond the Vineyard
Avenue intersection, which is approximately 110 feet to the north. A similar sight line condition exists to the north because of the proximity of the Periwinkle Gallery to the road right-of-way. Both photographs were taken as if the vehicle were nearly into the intersection.

## Parking

Existing Masonic Avenue on-street parking is informal with parking on unmarked shoulders available on both sides. Off-pavement parking is possible due to the absence of raised curbing. Parking on adjacent roadways is similar. There are "No Parking" signs which prohibit parking within 20 feet (typical) at the Dukes County Avenue and Vineyard Avenue intersection approaches.

On a broader scale, parking in the neighborhood was quantified by three parties: a Dukes County Avenue resident, Ms. Alison Shaw, identified 123 spaces within a three-minute walk to the proposed project; the applicant identified 187 spaces; and MVC staff identified 82 possible spaces within a shorter distance.

Figure 3 Masonic Avenue Sight Distances at Dukes County Avenue


The applicant advises that starting summer 2008, shuttle bus services along Dukes County Avenue and Circuit Avenue will go into operation. Larger events, $30-74$ people, will be provided with off-site parking at the high school and town hall. In the off-season, the sponsors of large events will be responsible for shuttle service.

## Vehicle Crash History

For the three most recent years (2004-2006) for which MassHighway data are available, five (5) of the six (6) reported vehicle crashes occurred at the Masonic Avenue/Circuit Avenue intersection, four of which were angle-type collisions. The one vehicle crash reported for the Dukes County Avenue/Vineyard Avenue intersection was a rear-end collision. No incidents were reported at the Dukes County Avenue/Masonic Avenue intersection. The reported vehicle crash data are summarized in Table 1.

## Public Transportation

The Vineyard Transit Authority (VTA) Route No. 7 passes through the Circuit Avenue/Masonic Avenue/Pocasset Avenue intersection. The service is provided throughout the year, with a more frequent schedule in the summer. The applicant advises that the town will be establishing off-site parking and a shuttle bus that will serve Dukes County Avenue from May to September 2008.

Table 1 - MassHighway Crash Data - Oak Bluffs, Massachusetts

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Collision | Road | Ambient |  |  |
| Year | Time | Severity | Type | Surface | Light | Weather | Intersection |
| 2006 | 5:49 AM | P.D. | Angle | Wet | Dark | Cloudy | Circuit Ave./Masonic Ave. |
|  | 5:21 PM | N.F.I. | Angle | Wet | Dark | Rain | Circuit Ave./Masonic Ave. |
|  | 11:45 AM | N.F.I. | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Cloudy | Circuit Ave./Masonic Ave. |
|  | 2:15 PM | P.D. | Angle | Dry | Daylight | Clear | Circuit Ave./Masonic Ave. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005 | 3:40 PM | N/R | Rear-End | Dry | Daylight | Cloudy | Circuit Ave./Masonic Ave. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2004 | 9:00 AM | N.F.I. | Rear-End | Dry | Daylight | Cloudy | Dukes County Ave./Vineyard Ave. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Severity: | P.D. - Prope | y Damage; | N.F.I. - No | -Fatal Injury | N/R - No Re |  |

## Bicycle Paths

There are no bicycle paths in the vicinity of the Bradley Square development. There is a "Share the Road" bicycle sign on southbound Circuit Avenue south of Masonic Avenue.

## FUTURE CONDITIONS

## Proposed Bradley Square

According to information provided by the co-applicants (Island Housing Trust and Island Affordable Housing Fund), the intent of the proposed Bradley Square project is to move the Denniston Building, the former Bradley Memorial Church, approximately 70 feet to the east onto a new foundation with a full basement, renovate the first floor sanctuary into a multi-use Cultural Center, and renovate the back of the first floor into an office and public restroom. Refer to Figure 4 for a revised site plan layout prepared by Hutker Architects, dated March 13, 2008. The second floor of the Denniston Building will be renovated into two affordable residential units. A full basement in the Denniston Building will include a second public restroom, a room for a
commercial kitchen that will be designed but not built, and storage space for the Cultural Center, the office, and the two residential units. On the side of the Denniston Building will be a small community green.

Two identical 4,033 square foot buildings (not including basement area) will be constructed. Bradley I fronting on Dukes County Road, and Bradley II fronting on Masonic Avenue, will each include two affordable live/work artist units on the ground floor, two affordable residential units on the second floor, and one market rate residential unit on the third floor. Full basements in each Bradley Building will include storage space for each of the residential units and the artist live/work units. The proposed uses are presented in Table 2.

| Table 2 - Proposed Bradley Square Buildings Use |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Use | Bradley I | Bradley II | Denniston Building | Totals |
| 1 Bedroom | 2 | 2 | 1 | 5 |
| 2 Bedroom | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| Live/Work Studio | 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 |
| Office | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Cultural Center | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |

The Town of Oak Bluffs has notified the Martha's Vineyard Commission of its intent to construct sidewalks on the proposed Bradley Square frontage on Dukes County Avenue and Masonic Avenue. Six (6) parking spaces, within the Masonic Avenue right-of-way, will be integrated with the new sidewalk.

As an integral part of the proposed Bradley Square development, a driveway constructed of pervious material will provide circulation behind the buildings and includes spaces for parking eight (8) vehicles. Off-street and on-street parking will serve the residential units and the office, as well as one (1) handicap parking space for the Cultural Center.

The plan is for four (4) live/work artist studios and six (6) residential units to be sold for between $\$ 150,000-\$ 325,000$ to families earning between $\$ 35,000-\$ 95,000$ annually. There would also be two (2) market rate units. The 10 non-market rate units would have permanent rental and resale restrictions.

## Background Traffic Growth

Background traffic is defined as the traffic that exists on adjacent roadways under a No-Build condition. As described earlier in this report, the base year turning movement counts recorded in March of 2008 were increased by a factor of 2.6 to approximate peak summer season conditions. In addition to the seasonal adjustment, the traffic volumes are further adjusted by an average growth rate of two (2) percent per year to estimate the expected growth in background traffic in 2010, an estimated projected completion date with full occupancy of the proposed project. The MVC staff advises that a 10 -unit affordable housing development is being proposed two blocks away, across from Tony's.

Figure 4 Revised Site Plan


## Site Generated Traffic - 2010

The proposed Bradley Square site is presently unoccupied. The development of the land, as described earlier in this report, will primarily be devoted to residential condominiums, live/work studios, a small office, and a Cultural Center that will be available for a variety of un-defined uses. Each of the potential uses has different trip-making characteristics. Trip generation estimates used are the peak hour of the generator and do not necessarily coincide with peak hours of the adjacent streets.

The basis for estimating the trip generation rates of each follow:

## Residential:

To estimate the number of trips generated by the residential component of the proposed Bradley Square site, the ITE Trip Generation Manual rates for residential condominium/townhouse (LUC 230) is used. No trip generation data are available for uses such as the live/work studios. However, during the peak hours being considered, the trip rates for LUC 230 are appropriate for occupants of the studios.

Special events in the area and potentially at the studios, such as art strolls, are likely to be concentrated during summer months and at non-peak hours. The strolls are typically held in the summer and generate a demand for parking in the neighborhood.

## Office:

The applicant has provided information that the NCAAP will occupy the 213 sf office in the Denniston Building. In the preparation of this report, average rates for ITE LUC 710, General Office Building, will be applied.

Cultural Center:
The applicant has cited the nature of the center's use in the permit application as being "owned or operated by either a non-profit organization or municipality who will rent the 738 sf of net space for appropriately sized public and private functions of 35 to 74 person occupancy depending upon the type of use. Typical hours of operation are 8:00 AM to 9:30 PM.

The ITE Trip Generation Manual does not have a land use category that is representative of the proposed Cultural Center. To estimate the amount of traffic that will directly impact the intersections at each end of Masonic Avenue, an assessment of the number of vehicles that could park on Masonic Avenue is used as a base. Given that the parking spaces fronting on the proposed Bradley Square property will be assigned to the residential and studio units, only parking on the opposite side of the roadway will be available. Under the conditions that no parking is allowed within 20 feet of an intersection and to account for residential driveways and utility poles, at most eight (8) vehicles could be parked. With a capacity of 74 persons, and at a rate of one (1) trip per three persons, an additional 25 vehicles could pick-up or drop-off passengers within a short time period. The intersections would experience temporary delays but will not experience a significant degradation in the expected levels-of-service (LOS). The trip generation calculations for the various proposed uses are presented in Table 3.

## Trip Distribution and Assignment

The 2010 trips projected to be generated by Bradley Square were apportioned according to the directional distribution reported in the ITE Trip Generation Manual and in combination with the intersection manual turning movement counts.

## Future No-Build Traffic

The Bradley Square project is expected to be fully occupied by 2010. The 2010 No-Build traffic estimates associated with the Bradley Square project are derived by factoring the 2008 No-Build Condition peak season traffic to account for an average traffic growth of two (2) percent per year. The estimated 2010 No-Build traffic is presented in Figure 5.

Table 3 Trip Generation
Estimates


Notes: 1 Based on ITE Manual LUC 231 Peak Hour of Generator
2 Based on ITE Manual LUC 710 Peak Hour of Generator
3 Based on assumption that available parking limits trips to eight on Masonic Avenue.
4 Rounded to whole number

## Future Build Traffic

The Bradley Square trip generation estimates for the 2010 Build summer traffic are added to the 2010 No-Build to develop a 2010 Build database of turning movements at the three intersections being evaluated. The results are presented in Figure 6.

Figue 52010 No-Build Surmmer Peak Turning Movements


Figure 62010 Build Summer Peak Turning Movernents


## TRAFFIC OPERATIONS ANALYSIS

## Intersection Operations Analysis

The assessment of two sets of traffic conditions, in this case No-Build and Build scenarios, is based on the quantification of traffic flow on the affected roadways. Intersections being the critical areas of operation, capacity analyses provide an indication of how well the intersections will serve the demand placed upon them.

Intersection operation conditions are defined by calculated levels of service. Level-of-Service (LOS) is a term used to quantitatively classify operating conditions under various traffic loads. LOS designations range from $A$ to $F$, with $A$ representing the best operating conditions and $F$ representing generally constrained operating conditions. Table 4 lists the evaluation criteria published in the Highway Capacity Manual, HCM2000.

Table 4 - Un-Signalized Intersection LOS Criteria

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Avg. Delay |  |  |
| LOS |  | (secs/veh) |  |  |
| A |  | $0-10$ |  |  |
| B |  | $>10-15$ |  |  |
| C |  | $>15-25$ |  |  |
| D |  | $>25-35$ |  |  |
| E |  | $>35-50$ |  |  |
| F |  | $>50$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

LOS were calculated for the following as stand-alone, un-signalized, intersections:

- Circuit Avenue, Masonic Avenue, and Pocasset Avenue
- Dukes County Avenue and Masonic Avenue
- Dukes County Avenue and Vineyard Avenue

Each of the three intersections currently operate at LOS A during the three time periods evaluated. For the proposed future conditions of the proposed Bradley Square, each of the three intersections will continue to operate at LOS A. Changes in delay time at each intersection are negligible.

Table 5 presents the results of the LOS calculations. Based on the results of the LOS calculations, the additional traffic generated by Bradley Square will not affect forecast summer intersection traffic operations.

Table 5 Un-Signalized Intersection LOS Summary - Summer

|  | 2008 No-Build AM |  | 2010 No-Build AM |  | 2010 Build AM |  | Change: 2010 No-Build/Build |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection | Delay (sec) | LOS | Delay (sec) | LOS | Delay (sec) | LOS | Delay (sec) | LOS |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vineyard Ave/Dukes County Ave | 6.7 | A | 6.8 | A | 6.8 | A | 0 | None |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Masonic Ave/Dukes County Ave | 3.3 | A | 3.3 | A | 3.4 | A | 0.1 | None |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Masonic Ave/Circuit Ave | 3.6 | A | 3.7 | A | 3.9 | A | 0.2 | None |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2008 No-Build | Id PM | 2010 No-Bui | d PM | 2010 Build |  | Change: 2010 | uild/Build |
| Intersection | Delay (sec) | LOS | Delay (sec) | LOS | Delay (sec) | LOS | Delay (sec) | LOS |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vineyard Ave/Dukes County Ave | 12.2 | A | 5.8 | A | 5.8 | A | 0 | None |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Masonic Ave/Dukes County Ave | 5.0 | A | 5.0 | A | 5.1 | A | 0.1 | None |
|  | 3.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Masonic Ave/Circuit Ave | 3.7 | A | 3.3 | A | 3.5 | A | 0.2 | None |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2008 No-Buil | d SAT | 2010 No-Buil | d SAT | 2010 Build | SAT | Change: 2010 | Build/Build |
| Intersection | Delay (sec) | LOS | Delay (sec) | LOS | Delay (sec) | LOS | Delay (sec) | LOS |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vineyard Ave/Dukes County Ave | 6.7 | A | 6.5 | A | 5.7 | A | 0.8 | None |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Masonic Ave/Dukes County Ave | 3.3 | A | 5.2 | A | 5.4 | A | 0.2 | None |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Masonic Ave/Circuit Ave | 3.7 | A | 5.7 | A | 5.0 | A | 0.7 | None |

## Site Driveway

The latest revision to the site plan (Figure 4 preceding) shows the site driveway as a one-way direction from Dukes County Avenue to Masonic Avenue (Option A). An earlier version of the site plan showed the driveway as one-way in the opposite direction from Masonic Avenue to Dukes County Avenue (Option B). Figure 7 graphically presents the conflicts and merges at affected intersections created by each of the above options.

Table 6 presents the data in tabular form. Option A and Option B have the same number of conflicts while Option A has four (4) fewer merges than Option B. This analysis confirms the proposed Option A is the better of the two.

| Table 5 Site Driveway Directional Options |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Option A | Option B |  |  |
| Intersection | Coinflicts | Merges | Coinflicts | Merges |
| 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 4 | 8 | 3 | 8 | 8 |
| Totals | 11 | 8 | 11 | 12 |

Figure 7 - Drivewoy Drection Options
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## Parking

A plan of the Bradley Square development (Figure 4 preceding) shows six (6) spaces for Masonic Avenue on-street parking and eight (8) spaces on the driveway behind the proposed buildings, for a total of 14 spaces.

The ITE Parking Generation (third edition) is an informational report and does not provide standards for parking demand. The report can be used as a guideline to estimate parking demands, based on experiences of other sources.

The parking demands for the various uses of the proposed Bradley Square complex follow:

## Multi-Family Residential Units:

Data in the ITE report indicate an average weekday peak period parking demand of 1.46 vehicles per dwelling unit for Land Use Group (LUG) 230, Residential Condominium/Townhouse. For this use category, the municipal rates for multi-unit residential dwellings are consistent with the ITE published data. An average of 1.5 spaces per unit is applied, resulting in a demand of 18 spaces. It could be argued that, since this project is located within walking distance of the center of town, the demand for resident's parking spaces might go down to 1.0 spaces per unit, the lower end of the range in the ITE manual; however, keeping it at 1.5 compensates for the visitation to studios when they are open to the public.

Office:
The ITE data for LUG 701 indicate an average peak parking demand of 2.84 spaces per 1000 sf GFA. The 213 sf of office space proposed in the Denniston Building creates a demand for one (1) parking space.

## Assemblage:

There is no comparable category in the ITE Trip Generation Manual to the Cultural Center. The data summarized for several municipalities will be used. The space requirements for a potential assembly of individuals in the Cultural Center averages one space per three (3) seats. Applying that rate to the stated 74 seats maximum, 25 spaces are required to satisfy the demand.

In total, under assumed conditions, the project generates a demand of 44 spaces. The Bradley Square project site plan identifies parking nine (9) spaces on the property - one of which is for handicap parking - and six (6) on-street, for a shortfall of 29 spaces. The applicant has not decided yet whether the 8 non-handicap off-street spaces will be assigned to the residential units and artist live/work units.

The balance of the required spaces will have to be provided on-street or at remote parking facilities.

## CONCLUSIONS

## Traffic

The addition of trips generated by the residential component of the proposed Bradley Square project to traffic operations at the three intersections considered in this study will not result in the degradation of levels-of-service or safety.

Because of the limited on-street parking on Masonic Avenue, visitors will undoubtedly seek parking elsewhere in the area. An additional $25-30$ trips added to the traffic flow on Masonic Avenue will not adversely alter the levels-of-service at the Masonic Avenue intersections or at the Dukes County Avenue/Vineyard Avenue.

## Parking

The proposed Bradley Square development is complex in terms of its uses, in particular, the parking aspects. Each demand is described following:

Residential:
The analysis of parking demand for the residential units and the live/work artist quarters indicates a short-fall of four (4) spaces when the average rate of 1.5 spaces per unit is applied. For 12 units, there is a demand of 18 spaces and a supply of 14 spaces (includes six on-street spaces).

Office:
The 209 sf office will generate a demand of one (1) space. If the 14 spaces are assigned to unit owners, office parking will have to be on-street.

## Cultural Center:

Given un-defined uses of the Cultural Center, the number of spaces required is also undefinable. It is clear that parking will be on-street or at remote locations. The supply of on-street parking in surrounding neighborhoods will be dependent upon the distance one is willing to walk and a competing use for spaces by residents. An informal survey of available on-street parking does not account for summer demands of seasonal renters and visitors. Remote locations will require a shuttle service for special events.

## Art Stroll:

While not an official use of Bradley Square, visitors attracted to the area by galleries and the art strolls will require parking opportunities similar to the Cultural Center. Once they have parked, in addition to walking to the many galleries on Dukes County Avenue in close proximity to the Bradley Square development, visitors might also walk to the artists in the live/work studios or to activities in the cultural center. It is not clear whether this would add to the overall parking demand of art strolls. In any event, these are infrequent, major events and a single project cannot be expected to resolve parking issues related to this activity. The Town's implementation of a shuttle bus service with remote parking does start to address this issue.

The residential and live/work components of the complex can be analyzed using standard procedures and fairly-well established trip generation relationships. There is little data for the Cultural Center and its potential uses as described herein.

## Safety

The MassHighway vehicle crash data reported for the three intersections do not indicate unsafe conditions at any location. Masonic Avenue at Circuit Avenue had a reported four (4) angle type crashes and one rear-end over a two-year period. The conditions could be improved by implementing the Traffic Control Devices recommendations suggested in the Mitigation section following.

## MITIGATION

## Traffic Control Devices

- Paint the stop bar pavement markings on Masonic Avenue at both ends for improved visibility.
- Consider painting crosswalks at the same locations.
- Install "No Parking to Corner" signs at the Masonic Avenue/Dukes County intersection approaches.


## Public Transportation

- Install VTA bus route signs at strategic locations to inform visitors that public transportation is available via Route 7.
- Consider implementing a bus stop at the Masonic Avenue/Circuit Avenue/Pocasset Avenue intersection.


## Parking

- Determine if the Masonic Avenue on-street spaces, that will be created when the sidewalks are installed, can legally be assigned to dwelling unit/artist studio owners.
- If the six (6) official on-street can be reserved for private use, assign one parking space to each owner (12) and consider reserving one or two additional spaces for handicapped visitors to the Cultural Center.
- As proposed by the applicant, use the remote parking facilities (town hall and school) that will be available for special functions at the Cultural Center, with public shuttle during the summer and special shuttle for larger events off-season. The applicant should commit to providing a shuttle service for larger events during the summer, outside the hours of operation of the Town shuttle, and in the event that the Town stops offering the shuttle service. There would not appear to be any need to offer a shuttle service in the winter or at other times when there is plentiful street parking in the area.
- If the remote parking and shuttle bus proves ineffective and the nearby residential streets suffer unduly from a shortage of parking for residents, the Town should consider implementing a system "Resident-Only Parking".


## APPENDIX

# MetroCount Traffic Executive 

## Vehicle Counts

## VehicloCount-765 -- English (ENU)

| Datasets: |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Site: <br> and Masonic | [778032108] Dukes County Avenue midway between Vineyard Avenue |
| Direction: | 7 - North bound A>8, South bound B>A, Lane: 2 |
| Survey Duration: | 17:23 Fnday, March 21, <Uu $=>$ 10:Uе , uesuay, mpun |
| File: <br> (Regular) | C:IProgram FilesIMetroCount v3151UserlDatal77803210801Apr2008.EC2 |
| Identifier: | M917HFEF MC56-L4 [MC55] (c)Microcom 19Sep03 |
| Algorithm: | Factory default |
| Data tvoe: | Axle sensors - Paired (Class, Speed, Count) |
| Profile: |  |
| Prter thme: | 47.92 Erlay March ?1, $2008=1$ 16.02 Tuesday, Aprll 01, 2008 |
| Included classes: | 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10. 11. 12 |
| Speed range: | $10-160 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. |
| Direction: | North, Esast, South, West (bound) |
| Separation: | All - (Headway) |
| Name: | Factory default profile |
| Scheme: | Vehicle classification (ARX) |
| Units: | Metric (meter, kilometer, $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{km} / \mathrm{h}, \mathrm{kg}$, tonne) |
| In profile: | Vehicles $=25609$ / 25684 (99.71\%) |


" Saturday, March 22, 2008 - Total=2190, 15 minute drops




AM Poak 1030-1130 (213), AM PHF-0.93 PM Poak 1215-1315 (185), PM PHF=0.81

* Sunday, March 23, 2008 - Total=1683, 15 minuto drops
$0000 \quad 0100 \quad 0200 \quad 0300 \quad 0400 \quad 0500 \quad 0500 \quad 0700 \quad 0800 \quad 0900 \quad 1000 \quad 1100 \quad 1200 \quad 1300 \quad 1400 \quad 1500 \quad 1600 \quad 1700 \quad 18001900$ $2000 \quad 2100 \quad 22002300$

|  | 15 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 19 | 46 | 81. | 139 | 139 | 142 | 183 | 138 | 113 | 124 | 133 | 103 | 69 | 67 | 45 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 27 | 17 | 14 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 9 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 15 | 51 | 20 | 45 | 32 | 24 | $3 \hat{0}$ | \% 2 | 38 | 20 | 27 | 18 | 11 |
| 7 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | ${ }^{1} 5$ | 2 | 10 | ${ }_{1} 1$ | 2 | 4 | B | 1.6 | 13 | 36 | 31 | 16 | 41 | 2.9 | 32 | 26 | 21 | 16 | 33 | 10 |
|  | 1 | 2. | 0 | 0 | 2 | H | 11 | 12 | 32 | 77 | 31 | 57 | 40 | 24 | 37 | 36 | 23 | 17 | 13 | 16 |
| 6 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 2.3 | 39 | 27 | 48 | 12 | 40 | 33 | 2.2 | 37 | 33 | 22 | 14 | 12 | n |
| 3 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

AM Peak 0915-1015 (208), AM PHF=0.67 PM Penk 1215-1316 (162), PM PHF=0.93

* Monday, March 24, 2008 - Total=2765, 15 minute drops



AM Peak 1100-1200 (275), AM PHFmo.94 PM Peak 1315-1415 (275), PM PHF=0,87

* Tuesday, March 25, 2008 - Total=2802, 15 minute drops
 2000210023002300


AM Poak 1100-1200 (288). AM PHF=0.91 PM Paak 1200-1300 (228), PM PHF=0,90
*Wodnesday, March 26, 2008 - Total=2721, 15 minute drops

| 2000210022002300 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 176 | 190 | 192 | 21.2 | 130 | 96 | 79 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 39 | 24 | 10 | S |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 0 | 0 | $!$ | 3 | 4 | 33 | 78 | 72 | 44 | 43 | 81 | 40 | 50 | 49 | 57 | 67. | 35 | 27 | 19 |
| 21. | 5 | 5 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\pm$ | 1 | 0 | $\pm$ | 3 | 6 | 37 | 87 | $4 \%$ | 48 | 51. | 80 | 32 | 49 | 50 | 57 | 49 | 35 | 29 | 22 |
| 1,0 | $0$ |  |  | 2 | 5 |  |  | 69 | c) | 42 | 66 | 63 | 35 | 42 | 38 | 11 | 50 | 33 | 20 | 21 |
|  | 0 |  |  |  | 5 | 10 | 49 | 88 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 7 | 3 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 53 | 47 | 45 | 37 | 20 | 17 |
|  | 0 | B | - | B | 5 | 23. | 79 | 53 | 46 | 57 | 57 | 46 | 27 | 35 | 53 | 47 | 46 | 27 | 20 | 17 |
| 1.7 |  | 0 | T |  | , |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM | eak | 846 | 746 | , | AM P | \%0, | PM | pak | 646 - | 845 | 3), $P$ | PHF | 0.79 |  |  |  |  | , |  |  |
| * Thursday, March 27, 2008-Total=2826, 15 minute drops |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\overline{200}$ | $021$ | $022$ | $52$ | 4 | 9 | 33 | 181 | 240 | 20.3 | 202 | 226 | 267 | 318 | 204 | 197 | 220 | 182 | 145 | 106 | 61 |
| 46 | 37 | 20 | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 22 | 73 | 4? | 52 | 63 | E4 | 515 | 62 | 56 | 35 | 53 | 40 | 36 | 16 |
| 15 | 15 |  | , |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 29 | 12 |
|  | 1 | 1. | 0 | 1 | 7 | 5 | 26 | 71 | 39 | 43 | 34 | 75 | 53 | 39 | 51 | 56 | 42 | 36 | 29 | 12 |
| 1 | A |  | , |  | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 34 | 16 |
|  | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 11 | 11 | 48 | 50 | 50 | S9 | G4 | 4 | 50 | 50 | 69 | 41 | 35 | 3.4 | 18 |
| 7 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 59 | 45 | 37 | 27 | 17 |
|  | 2 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1,1. | 90 | 48 | 6 | \#6 | 70 | 14 | 58 | 53 | 4.0 | 59 | 45 | 37 | 27 | 17 |
| 7 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

AM Poak 0845 - 0745 (282), AM PHF*0.78 PM Poak 1616-1815 (237), PM PHF=0.86

* Friday, March 28, 2008 - Total=2478, 15 minute drops


 AM Psak $1100-1200(230)$, AM PHF=0.84 PM Pagk 1500-1600 (235), PM PHFra0. 83
* Saturday, March 29, 2008 - Total-2132, 15 minute drops




AM Peak 1030-1130 \{201\}, AM PHF=0.87 PM Peak 1245-1345 (174), PM PHF=0.75

* Sunday, March 30, 2008 - Total=1584, 15 minute drops




* Monday, March 31, 2008 - Total=2073, 15 minuie drops



* Tuesday, April 01, 2008 - Total=1874 (incomplete), 15 minute drops


| - | 3 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 10 | 50 | 125 | 167 | 1.59 | 139 | 170 | 248 | 244 | 257 | 227 | 65 | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | 4 | \% | $n$ | 2 | $\leqslant$ | 36 | tic | 10 | \%\% | tio | 17 | 61 | J | 64 | 51 | - | - |  | - |
|  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 11 | 22 | 45 | 42 | 12 | 47 | 12fi | 45 | 44 | f.a | 14 |  | - | - |  |
|  | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 13 | 34 | 30 | 61 | 30 | 40) | 50 | 69 | 72 | 6.5 | c |  | - | - |  |
|  | A | $\therefore$ | $\sim$ | 2 | 2 | 1- | 4.2 | 22 | 38 | $7 \pi$ | 37 | 94 | 69 | 6.6 | 15 | 0 | - |  |  |  |

AM Peak 1148-1245 (270), AM PHFmo. 71

## MetroCount Traffic Executive Vehicle Counts

| Datasets: |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Site: | [776040208] Masonic Avenue midway between Dukes County and Circuit |
| Direction: | 6 - West bound A>B, East bound B>A., Lane: 2 |
| Survey Duration: | 10:11 Thursday, April $03,2008=>14: 41$ Monday, April 07, 2008 |
| File: | C:IProgram FilesiMetroCount v315)UserlDetal77604020807Apr2008.EC2 (Requiar) |
| Identifier: | M917HFEF MC56-L4 [MC55] (c)Microcom 19Sep03 |
| Algorithm: | Factory default |
| Data type: | Axle sensors - Paired (Class, Speed. Count) |
| Profile: |  |
| Filter time: | 10:11 Thursday, April 03, $2008 \Rightarrow$ 14:45 Saturday, April 05, 2008 |
| Included classes: | 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12 |
| Speed range: | 6-99 mph. |
| Direction: | North, East, South, West (bound) |
| Separation: | All - (Headway) |
| Name: | Factory default profile |
| Scheme: | Vehicle classification (ARX) |
| Units: | Non metric ( $\mathrm{ft}, \mathrm{mi}, \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{mph}, \mathrm{lb}, \mathrm{ton}$ ) |
| In profile: | Vehicles $=900 / 920$ (97.83\%) |

The counts recorded between March 21 and April 1 were invalid due a counter malfunction. The count was repeated April 3 to April 5.

* Thursday, Aprll 03, 2008 - Total $=337$ (Incomplete), 15 minute drops

* Friday, April 04, 2008 - Total $=433,15$ minute drops

* Saturday, April 05, 2008 - Total=130 (Incomplete), 15 minute drops


[^0]
# MetroCount Traffic Executive Vehicle Counts 

## VehicleGount-763 -- English (ENU)

| Datasets: |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Site: | [777032108] Circuit Avenue midway betwe |
| Direction: | 4 - West Douna, A nu Twst., Lane: i |
| Survey Duration: | 17:14 Friday, March 21, 2008 => 15:51 Tuesday, April 01, 2008 |
| F: <br> (Plus) |  |
| Identifler: | S38219Y1 MC56-L.5 [MC55] (c)Microcom 190c |
| Algorithm: | Factory default |
| Data type: | Axle sensors - Palred (Class, Speed, Count) |
| Profile: |  |
| Filter time: | 17:14 Friday, March 21, $2008=>15: 51$ Tuesday, April 01, 2008 |
| Included classes: | $1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12$ |
| Speed range: | $10-160 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. |
| Direction: | North, East, South, West (bound) |
| Separation: | All - (Headway) |
| Name: | Factory deravil prosibe |
| Scheme: | Vehicle classification (ARX) |
| Units: | Metric (meter, kilometer, m/s, km/h. kg, tonne) |
| In profile: | Vehicles $=25367 / 25376$ (99.96\%) |

* Friday, March 21, 2008 - Total $\mathbf{m 1 8}$ (Incomplete), 15 minute drops



* Sunday, March 23, 2008 - Total=1813, 15 minuto drops



AM Peak 0815-1015 (237), AM PHF=0.83 PM Peak 1200 - 1300 (187), PM PHF=0.85


## AM Poak 1100-1200 (114), AM PHF=0.81 PM Poak 1446-1865 (244), PM PHF=0,94

|  | 3 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 10 | 44 | 84 | 92 | 70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 43 | 29 | 15 | 7 |  |  | 44 | 84 | 92 | 70 | $\underline{92}$ | 89 | 132 | 100 | 195 | 195 | 231 | 194 | 151 | 105 | 71 |
| 13 | 2 | ${ }^{1} 7$ | ${ }_{2}$ | ${ }^{1}$ | 0 | 5 | 17 | 21 | 16 | 22 | 23 | 30 | 32 | 33 | 19 | 68 | 56 | 47 | 38 | 10 |
| 12. | ${ }^{0} 6$ | ${ }^{2} 5$ | ${ }^{0} 1$ | $2$ | 1 | 13 | 3.4 | 2.3 | 27 | 21 | 10 | 23 | 22 | 49 | 42 | 62 | 50 | 36 | 31 | 20 |
| 6 | $\frac{1}{10}$ | $0$ | $0$ $3$ | $0_{0}$ | 1 | 17 | 11 | 20 | 11 | 14 | 34 | 41 | 26 | 45 | 53 | 56 | 46 | 36 | 20 | 12 |
|  | $4$ | 2 . | 0 $1$ |  | 6 | 9 | 22 | 25 | 76 | 25 | 24 | 38 | 20 | 5A | 51 | 48 | 42 | 30 | 16 | : 5 |

Wednesday, March 26, 2008 - Total-2175, 15 mintite drops
$0000 \quad 01,00 \quad 0200 \quad 0300 \quad 0400 \quad 0500$ 0600 $07000800 \quad 0900 \quad 7,000 \quad 1100 \quad 1200 \quad 1300 \quad 1400 \quad 1500 \quad 16001700 \quad 1800 \quad 1900$



* Thursday, March 27, 2008 - Total=2186, 15 minute drops
$0000 \quad 0100 \quad 0200 \quad 0300 \quad 0400 \quad 0500$ 0500 $0700 \quad 0800 \quad 0200 \quad 1000 \quad 17001200 \quad 13001400.1500 \quad 16001700 \quad 1800 \quad 1900$ $30002100 \quad 2200 \quad 2300$

|  | 7 |  | 2 | 4 |  | 3 |  | 0 | 37 | 76 | B7, | 89 | 93 | 125 | 111 | 124 | 21,3 | 231 | 274 | 208 | 152 | 14.9 | 66 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 5 | 23 | 14 | 22 | 17 | 37 | 23 | 39 | 19 | 62 | G1. | 52. | 40 | 11 | 22 |
| 21 | 1 | 3 |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 51 | 56 | 68 | 61 | 31 | 63 | 14 |
|  | 2 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 7 |  | 1 | 1.3 | 20 | 23 | 18 | 87 | 38 | 14 | 39 | 54 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | B |  | $2$ | 1 | $5$ | 0 | 9 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 23 | 24 | 24 | 25 | 30 | 25 | 56 | 55 | 71 | 39 | 38 | 39 | 12 |
| 10 |  | 7 |  |  | 11 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 74 | 56 | 2.3 | 34 | 18 |
|  | 2 |  | 0 | 2 |  | 1 |  | 4 | 9 | Is | 21 | 31. | 3.5 | 35 | 24 | 21 | 61 | 56 | 74 | 5 | 2.3 | 34 | 14 |
| 9 | 12 |  | 7 |  | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

AM Peak 1130 = 1230 (132), AM PHF=0.85 PM Peak 1500 - 1800 \{274), PM PHFao. 83

* Friday, March 28, 2008 - Total=2824, 15 minute drops



AM Peak 1145-1245 (203), AM PHFw0.91 PM Peak 1500-1800 (244), PM PHFan, BB

* Saturday, March 29, 2008 - Total=2687, 15 minute drops
 $20002100 \quad 2200 \quad 2300$

|  | 25 | 6 | 3 | 11 |  | 4 | 18 | 63 | 113 | 185 | 212 | 204 | 237 | 200 | 220 | 215 | 212 | 128 | 142 | 152 | 102 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 93 | 68 | 43 | 37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 14 | 3 | 0 | 2 |  | 0 | 1 | 7 | 15 | 41. | 4\% | 43 | 65 | 47 | 54 | 51 | 56 | 50 | 40 | 34 | 24 |
| 25 | 23 | 13 | 5 |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20 | 35 | 47 | 35 |
|  | B | 2 | 1 | 2 |  | 2 | 3 | 9 | 31 | 47 | 57 | 46 | 61 | 54 | 53 | 41. | 99 | 20 | 32 | $4)$ | 25 |
| 29 | 35 | 9 | 8 |  | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.6 |
|  | 1 | $\%$ | 2 | 1 |  | 1 | 10 | 22 | 32 | 58 | 46 | 3. | 12:3 | 80 | 11 | 55 | 20 | 24 | 33 | 33 | 2.6 |
| 27 | 19 | 11 | 4 |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 68 | 67 | 74 | 34 | 38 | 27 |
|  | 2 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 1 |  | 1 | 4 | 25 | 13 | 33 | E? | 60 | 56 | 55 | 6.4 | 68 | 67 | 14 | 36 | 38 | 27 |
| 18 | 11 | 10 | 14 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

AM Peak 1030-1130 (243), AM PHF=0.93 PM Peak 1430 - 1530 (228), PM PHF=0.84
Sunday, March 30, 2008 - Total=1934, 15 minute drops
 $\frac{20002100 \quad 2200 \quad 2300}{33}$

|  | 33 | 2 | 1 | 3, |  | 3 | 1,2 | 49 | 76 | 139 | 172. | 195 | 222 | 147 | 234 | 230 | 140 | 124 | 1,2,2 | 93 | 57 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 37 | 25 | 16 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 8 | 0 | 1 | 4 |  | 1 | 0 | 11 | 13 | 30 | 24 | 5月 | 71 | 40 | 39 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 43 | 24 | 15 |
| 16 | 13 | 6 | 5 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 10 | 1 | 0 | 4 |  | 0 | 4 | 4 | 1.3 | 41 | 42 | 616 | 59 | 12 | 27 | 27 | 30 | 27 | 26 | 37. | 10 |
| 3 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\checkmark$ | 1 | 0 | 3 |  | 0 | 5 | 13 | 18 | 12 | 46 | 42 | 42 | 4 H | 36 | 37 | 24 | 26 | 23 | 20 | 12 |
| 7 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1. | 0 | 9 | 0 |  | 2 | 3 | 17 | 33 | 26 | 60 | 51 | 50 | 27 | 33 | 2 A | 56 | 31 | 30 | 18 | 18 |
| 5 | 2 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

AM Peak 1030-1130 (223), AM PHF=0.79 PM Peak 1515-1815 (160), PM PHPwo. 87

* Monday, March 31, 2008 - Totalm2422, 15 minute drops






## Martha's Vineyard Commission

 Turning Movement Counts DRI\# 612-Bradley Square ProjectFile Name : untitled4
Site Code : 00000777
Start Date : 04/05/2008
Page No : 1

|  | Circuit Avenue From North |  |  |  | Pocasset Avenue From East |  |  |  | Circuit Avenue <br> From South |  |  |  | Masonic Avenue From West |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Trck | Right | Thru | Left | Trck | Right | Thru | Left | Trek | Right | Thru | Left | Trck | Int. |
| Factor | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11:00 AM | 11 | 21 | 0 | 0 | . 0 | 0 | 1 | 1.0 0 | $\frac{1.0}{1}$ | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | $\frac{1.0}{1}$ | 1.0 1 | 1.0 13 | 1.0 |  |
| 11:15 AM | 9 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 13 | 0 | 83 85 |
| 11:30 AM | 17 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 30 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 12 | 0 | 85 102 |
| 11:45 AM | -4 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 0 | $\begin{array}{r}102 \\ 74 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| - Total | 41 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 11 | 14 | 0 | 3 | 129 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 42 | 0 | 344 |
| Grand Total | 41 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 11 | 14 | 0 | 3 | 129 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 5 |  |  |  |
| Apprch \% | 32.0 | 68.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.7 | 39.3 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 94.2 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 7.8 | 9.8 | 42 82.4 | 0.0 | 344 |
| Total \% | 11.9 | 25.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 3.2 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 37.5 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 9.8 1.5 | 82.4 12.2 | 0.0 |  |



File Name : untitled1
Site Code : 00000444
Start Date : 04/03/2008
Page No : 1


| 05:00 PM | 6 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 13 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 63 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 05:15 PM | 4 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 10 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 57 |
| 05:30 PM | 3 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 11 | 0 | 11 | 0 | B | 0 | 53 |
| 05:45 PM | 5 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 11 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 53 |
| Total | 18 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 45 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 226 |
| Grand Total | 24 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 45 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 331 |
| Apprch \% | 24.2 | 75.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.5 | 49.5 | 0.0 | 70.9 | 0.0 | 29.1 | 0.0 |  |
| Total \% | 7.3 | 22.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.9 | 13.6 | 0.0 | 30.2 | 0.0 | 12.4 | 0.0 |  |

Martha's Vineyard Commission
Turning Movement Counts
DRI\# 612 -Bradley Square Project


# Martha's Vineyard Commission <br> Turning Movernent Counts DR|\# 612 - Bradley Square Project 

Page No : 1


| 05:00 PM | 0 | 17 | 9 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 05:15 PM | 0 | 14 | 10 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 |
| 05:30 PM | 0 | 16 |  | 0 | 14 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 |
| 05:45 PM | 0 | 9 | 12 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 |
| Yotal | 0 | 56 | 40 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $197^{\circ}$ |
| Grand Total | 0 | 112 | 65 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 17 | 1 | 5 | 90 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 360 |
| Apprch \% | 0.0 | 63.3 | 36.7 | 0.0 | 79.5 | 0.0 | 19.3 | 1.1 | 5.3 | 94.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total \% | 0.0 | 31.1 | 18.1 | 0.0 | 19.4 | 0.0 | 4.7 | 0,3 | 1.4 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |

File Name: untitled1
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date: 04/03/2008

Martha's Vineyard Commission
Turning Movement Counts DRI\# 612-Bradley Square Project

File Name : untitled2
Site Code : 00000555
Start Date : 04/05/2008
Page No : 1


LOS CALCULATIONS

| 2008 No-Build Am <br> HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR |
| SBL SBT | SBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lanes | 1> |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | <1 |  |
| 1> 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 52 |  | 120 |  |  |  | 138 | 39 |
| 86 | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sign Control Free | Stop |  |  |  |  |  |  | Free |  |
| Grade | 0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0\% |  |
| 0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor |  | 0.92 |  | 0.92 |  |  |  | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| 0.92 | 0.92 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) |  | 57 |  | 130 |  |  |  | 150 | 42 |
| 93 | 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (ft/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | None |  |
| None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC , conflicting volume |  | 445 |  | 102 |  |  |  | 111 |  |
| vC 1 , stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC2}$, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu , unblocked vol |  | 445 |  | 102 |  |  |  | 111 |  |
| tC, single (s) | 6.4 |  | 6.2 |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 3.5 |  | 3.3 |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |
| p0 queue free \% |  | 89 |  | 86 |  |  |  | 90 |  |
| cM capacity (veh/h) |  | 513 |  | 953 |  |  |  | 1479 |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 187 | 192 | 111 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 57 | 150 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 130 | 0 | 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 757 | 1479 | 1700 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity |  | 0.25 | 0.10 | 0.07 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) |  | 24 | 8 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 11.3 | 6.2 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | B | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) |  | 11.3 | 6.2 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average Delay |  |  |  | 6.7 | 33.3\% |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service |  |  |  |
|  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Baseline Synchro 7-Report <br> \%user_name\% Page 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 No-Build PM <br> HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis <br> 3: Int 4/9/2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR |
| SBL SBT | SBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lanes | 1> |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | <1 |  |
| $1>$ 0 <br> Volume (veh/h)  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 55 |  | 140 |  |  |  | 117 | 120 |
| 109 | 47 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sign Control | Stop |  |  |  |  |  |  | Free |  |
| Free |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade | 0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Peak Hour Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0.92 |  | 0.92 |  |  |  | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| 0.92 | 0.92 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) |  | 60 |  | 152 |  |  |  | 127 | 130 |
| 118 | 51 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (ft/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type None <br> None  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX , platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC , conflicting volume |  | 529 |  | 144 |  |  |  | 170 |  |
| vC 1 , stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC 2 , stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol |  | 529 |  | 144 |  |  |  | 170 |  |
| tC, single (s) | 6.4 |  | 6.2 |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 3.5 |  | 3.3 |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |
| p0 queue free \% |  | 87 |  | 83 |  |  |  | 91 |  |
| cM capacity (veh/h) |  | 464 |  | 903 |  |  |  | 1408 |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Volume Total | 212 | 258 | 170 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume Left | 60 | 127 | 0 |  |
| Volume Right | 152 | 0 | 51 |  |
| cSH | 713 | 1408 | 1700 |  |
| Volume to Capacity |  | 0.30 | 0.09 | 0.10 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) |  | 31 | 7 | 0 |
| Control Delay (s) | 12.2 | 4.2 | 0.0 |  |
| Lane LOS | B | A |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) |  | 12.2 | 4.2 | 0.0 |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  |  | 5.7 |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  |  |  |
|  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  |  | 15 |

43.1\% ICU Level of Service

| Baseline | Synchro 7-Report |
| :--- | :--- |
| \%user_name\% | Page 0 |

## 2008 No-Build SAT

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 3: Int 4/8/2008

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SBL SBT | SBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lanes | 1> |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | <1 |  |
| 1> 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 62 |  | 143 |  |  |  | 125 | 96 |
| 95 | 42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sign Control Free | Stop |  |  |  |  |  |  | Free |  |
| Grade | 0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0\% |  |
| 0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor |  | 0.92 |  | 0.92 |  |  |  | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| 0.92 | 0.92 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) |  | 67 |  | 155 |  |  |  | 136 | 104 |
| 103 | 46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (ft/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Median type |
| :--- |
| None |
| Median storage veh) |

Upstream signal (ft)
pX, platoon unblocked
vC, conflicting volume
vC1, stage 1 conf vol
vC2, stage 2 conf vol
vCu, unblocked vol
tC, single (s)
tC, 2 stage ( s )

| $\mathrm{tF}(\mathrm{s})$ | 3.5 | 3.3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |


| p0 queue free \% |  | 86 |  | 83 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | 479 |  | 924 |
| cM capacity (veh/h) |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | EB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | 223 | 240 | 149 |  |
| Volume Total | 67 | 136 | 0 |  |
| Volume Left | 155 | 0 | 46 |  |
| Volume Right | 721 | 1433 | 1700 |  |
| cSH |  | 0.31 | 0.09 | 0.09 |
| Volume to Capacity | 33 | 8 | 0 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 12.2 | 4.7 | 0.0 |  |
| Control Delay (s) | B | A |  |  |
| Lane LOS | 12.2 | 4.7 | 0.0 |  |
| Approach Delay (s) |  | B |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  |  |

6.4
3.5

86
479

B

Intersection Summary
Average Delay
Intersection Capacity Utilization
Analysis Period (min)
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Baseline } & \text { Synchro 7-Report } \\ \text { \%user_name\% } & \text { Page 0 }\end{array}$

## 2010 No-Build AM

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
3: Int 4/9/2008

| Movement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SBL | SBT | EBL <br> SBR <br> 1> | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR

Lane Width (ft)
Walking Speed (ft/s)
Percent Blockage
Right turn flare (veh)
Median type
None
None
Median storage veh)

Upstream signal (ft)
pX , platoon unblocked
vC , conflicting volume
464
vC 1 , stage 1 conf vol
vC 2 , stage 2 conf vol
vCu , unblocked vol
tC, single (s)
tC, 2 stage (s)
$\mathrm{tF}(\mathrm{s}) \quad 3.5$
p0 queue free \% 8
88
cM capacity (veh/h)
498

| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Volume Total | 195 | 201 | 115 |  |
| Volume Left | 59 | 157 | 0 |  |
| Volume Right | 136 | 0 | 18 |  |
| cSH | 745 | 1474 | 1700 |  |
| Volume to Capacity |  | 0.26 | 0.11 | 0.07 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) |  | 26 | 9 | 0 |
| Control Delay (s) | 11.5 | 6.2 | 0.0 |  |
| Lane LOS | B | A |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) |  | 11.5 | 6.2 | 0.0 |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  |

Intersection Summary
Average Delay
Intersection Capacity Utilization
A
Analysis Period (min)

| Baseline | Synchro 7-Report |
| :--- | :--- |
| \%user_name\% | Page 0 |

2010 No-Build PM
HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis
3: Int 4/8/2008




| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SBL SBT | SBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lanes | 1> |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | <1 |  |
| 1> 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume (veh/h) |  | 54 |  | 125 |  |  |  | 144 | 41 |
| 89 | 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sign Control Free | Stop |  |  |  |  |  |  | Free |  |
| Grade | 0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0\% |  |
| 0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor |  | 0.92 |  | 0.92 |  |  |  | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| 0.92 | 0.92 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) |  | 59 |  | 136 |  |  |  | 157 | 45 |
| 97 | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (ft/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | None |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC , conflicting volume |  | 464 |  | 106 |  |  |  | 115 |  |
| $\mathrm{vC1}$, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC2}$, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu , unblocked vol |  | 464 |  | 106 |  |  |  | 115 |  |
| tC, single (s) | 6.4 |  | 6.2 |  |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 3.5 |  | 3.3 |  |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |
| p0 queue free \% |  | 88 |  | 86 |  |  |  | 89 |  |
| cM capacity (veh/h) |  | 498 |  | 948 |  |  |  | 1474 |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 195 | 201 | 115 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 59 | 157 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 136 | 0 | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 745 | 1474 | 1700 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity |  | 0.26 | 0.11 | 0.07 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) |  | 26 | 9 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 11.5 | 6.2 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | B | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) |  | 11.5 | 6.2 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  |  | 6.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utiliz |  |  |  |  | 34.1\% | ICU L | of Ser |  |  |
|  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |






[^0]:    AM Peak 0945-1045 (36), AN PHF $=0.69$

