MARThA'S VINEYARD COMMISSION

MINUTES OF JUNE 16, 1988

The Martha's Vineyard Commission held the regular meeting of the Commission on Thursday, June 16, 1988 at 8:00 P.M. at the Commission offices, Olde Stone Building, New York Avenue, Oak Bluffs, MA 02557.

John G. Early, Chairman of the MVC, opened the meeting of the Commission at 8:05 P.M.

Item #1 - Chairman's Report.

Mr. Early introduced and welcomed Secretary James Hoyte of the Executive Office of Environmental Affairs to the Commission meeting. Mr. Early asked Secretary Hoyte if he would like to make a statement.

Secretary Hoyte stated that he is looking forward to the Commission meeting as the Commission is and has been dealing with important controversial matters and stated that Commissioner McCavitt represents his office. He stated he serves on a Legislative Committee which is looking at growth and new techniques to cope with growth and the impacts associated with growth that are imposed on municipalities and the environment. He stated that the MVC is a model which can be duplicated and or modified for other parts of Massachusetts. Secretary Hoyte then discussed review and determination of an EIS through the MEPA Process. He stated that he would like to emphasize that his analysis is done to determine if there is enough information available for permit granting agencies to make a correct determination. Further he stated that he does not make a judgement as to whether a project/proposal is good or bad.

ITEM #2 - New Business - There was none.

ITEM #3 - Minutes of June 9, 1988

Mr. Widdiss, Commissioner, requested name spelling correction on his mailings. (Marc not Mark).

Motion to approve the minutes of June 9, 1988. Seconded.

Motion to approve carried with 3 abstentions (Lynch, Lee, Harris)

ITEM #4 - Committee Reports

Land Use Planning Committee:

James Young, Chairman of the Land Use Planning Committee, stated the committee met on Monday and briefly reviewed the MSPCA DRI proposal. They also met with the applicant and planners of the Mill Brook, West Tisbury
and Mill Brook Chilmark DRI's in great length and stated that he would discuss the Committee's meeting during Item #5 discussion regarding this application. Referencing the Long Term Agenda Mr. Young stated that next meeting of the Committee will be on June 20, 1988 and the agenda consists of the following: Chadwick House Addition; Peaked Hill Pastures; Deer Run Trust and Douglas and Margaret Cabral.

Mr. Widdiss, noted that he feels that the LUPC process could make the DRI process a lot simpler, i.e. clearing up issues prior to vote, if more Commissioners would participate with the LUPC.

Joint Transportation Committee

Ann Skiver, Transportation Planner, stated that there will be a public hearing, Friday the 17 of June from 9:00 a.m. to 11:00 a.m. at the MV Regional High School Gymnasium, Oak Bluffs, MA regarding public safety and mopeds.

Task Forces:

Mark Adams, MVC Planner, stated the task forces involved in the Island's Comprehensive Plan had a core meeting on Wednesday, June 15, 1988, and reported preliminary lists of issues. There was also discussion of overlap in task forces. He stated the task forces will meet again jointly in September.

Lagoon Pond DCPC Committee:

Mr. Young, Chairman, stated the Committee met prior to tonight's meeting with the Lagoon Pond Management Committee to review the draft recommendations drawn up to be submitted to Town Boards as soon as possible.

Cape Pogue DCPC Committee:

Mr. West, Chairman, stated the Committee met this evening regarding a request for exemption from this district. He stated that the committee will go on a site visit during the week and meet again next week.

Mrs. Barer reminded the Committee that a public hearing for the Cape Pogue DCPC will also be held next week.

Following Committee Reports Mr. Early stated due to possible conflict of interest he will have Vice Chairman, Michael Lynch, chair the meeting for Items 5 and 6. Mr. Early then left the room.

Mr. Lynch stated that he would take the agenda out of order and moved to Item #6. He stated that he would recess the meeting for 5 minutes for review of the findings and conditions on the Draft Decision. Mr. Lynch reconvened the meeting following a short recess.

Possible Vote Written Decision Priester's Pond DRI

Motion to amend the draft decision on page 16 item 3b regarding fishing. Mr. Young stated as written this apparently is difficult to
enforce and make binding and would suggest amending as follows: the applicant has agreed to continue to allow residents to continue to fish Priester's and Crocker Pond with permission. Seconded. On a roll call vote the motion carried with a vote of 12 in favor and 4 abstentions (Harris, Evans, Early, McCavitt) with (Allen and Geller in favor)

Mr. West referencing page 15 paragraph 1 regarding drinking water quality standards are exceeded and the applicant's responsibility - he questions if all lots are sold who will be responsible. Mrs. Borer, Executive Director, stated that a line can be inserted at the beginning of findings and conditions which states: for the purpose of this decision the applicant shall mean the Priester's Pond Homeowners Association further, she stated this would cover everything in the decision.

Mr. Lynch called for a motion on the amendment in order to begin discussion. Mr. West stated a motion to amend the decision as stated above. Seconded.

As Mr. Evans had to abstain from the vote on Priester's Pond and Mr. Wey was not available to vote, making no representative from Oak Bluffs, Mr. Lynch declared the previous votes on amending the decision invalid as quorum was not present.

ITEM #5 - Discussion

Mill Brook Trust DRI West Tisbury
Mill Brook Trust DRI Chilmark

Mr. Lynch asked for the staff update.

Mr. Adams stated the proposal is for the Subdivision of 145.1 acres in Chilmark and West Tisbury into 28 lots including one resident homesite lot making an average lot size of 3.9 acres.

Mr. Adams discussed the definitive plan in Chilmark with a total acreage of 81.9 and total number of lots 15 including 1 resident lot of 1.7 acres the average lot size equalling 3.75 acres and Open Space equalling 21.4 acres; Road (private way) = 4.3 acres; proposed growth rate is 2.5 lots or 17% per year.

Mr. Adams then addressed the Preliminary Plan in West Tisbury stating total acreage equals 63.3 with a total of 13 lots; Average lot size of 4.1 acres; Open Space totalling 6.5 acres; Road (private way) of 2.5 acres; with a Gross Development Density of 5.2 acres/lot and a Net Development Density of 3.2 acres/lot.

Mr. Adams stated the approximate acreages of sensitive areas for wetlands: West Tisbury 10.97 acres, Chilmark 1.8 acres; 100' wetlands buffer: West Tisbury 6.36 acres, Chilmark 5.4 acres; Wascosim's Ridge Top: West Tisbury 7.95 acres, Chilmark 8.8 acres; Wascosim's Ridge Side Slopes: West Tisbury 5.36 acres, Chilmark 2.0 acres; Mass. Natural Heritage Significant Habitat: West Tisbury 37.65 and Chilmark 11.48 acres.

Mr. Adams stated that access is via Tea lane and Old Farm Road through both Woodlands. He noted that access to the West Tisbury parcels is proposed entirely over Chilmark roads.
Regarding the adjacent parcel Mr. Adams stated the applicant retains certain options on an adjacent parcel to the southwest but has no present intentions to exercise them.

Mr. Adams stated the proposal falls within the following zoning districts: Chilmark - Agricultural Residential District 1 and West Tisbury - Agricultural - Residential District 3. He stated that the proposal is in part located in the Coastal DCPC and Inland Zone. Mr. Adams discussed subdivision road regulations regarding the West Tisbury Planning Board allowing unpaved roads for subdivisions of less than 10 lots. He noted that septic and well locations are not shown on the preliminary plan and stated when submitted they must meet State Title 5 standards. He stated that soils are Chilmark and Eastchop soils and that full perc tests have not yet been reported for the West Tisbury lots.

Mr. Adams stated the site is contained within the headwater drainage of Mill Brook which is part of one of the largest surface watersheds on Martha's Vineyard via the Town Cove Arm of Tisbury Great Pond. Further the proposed subdivision falls within several small scaled enclosed valleys with side slopes up to 20 to 30% and ridge tops that offer extensive panoramic views. The site is crossed by numerous stone walls in excellent condition, several long distance trails and contains a possible ancient homesite with chimney pile, cellar holes and a capped well. Wascosim's Rock, designated as a Special Place DCPC, is centrally located within the site.

Mr. Adams stated that the site also contains extensive wetlands and some rich diverse plant communities of regional significance. Further within a relatively small area a broad diversity of plant communities are present representing a microcosm of Island habitats, from dry open hilltops, oak woodlands, damp mesic hardwood forest, shrub bogs, tupelo copses and red maple swamps and that several state-listed plant and animal species depend upon these habitats. Also some species are of statewide and regional importance.

Mr. Adams stated that wetlands which have been identified equal approximately 12.77 acres on site. He stated the applicant's planner states that wetlands protection is the only support that can be provided to permit the endangered plants expansion. Applicant proposes covenants prohibiting removal of mature trees and natural understory in the wetlands. Regarding wetlands along Roth Woodlands Lane, the applicant's planner minimizes the effects of subdivision traffic. Mr. Adams stated that the State Natural Heritage Program ranks this area as significant regionally and statewide. He stated the applicant proposes to prohibit site grading and to protect all existing mature trees to within fifteen feet of structures and alterations of slopes steeper than 20% is to be avoided. He stated the applicant proposes limiting cart paths to pedestrian traffic and relocation roadways to consolidate open space. Mr. Adams noted that the applicant assumes no increase in runoff or disturbance of natural drainage. Further, the applicant's planner recommends that erosion control plans be required of all potential homebuilders and suggests controls on the use of pesticides and fertilizers.

Mr. Adams stated that open space provisions equals a total of 27.9 acres and that the applicant proposes a small three car parking pull out within Open Space lot A. Access to the site would be for passive recreation only. He stated the Mill Brook Trust applicant suggests that
trails may be preserved but that vehicular use should be strictly prohibited, and that public access would remain the prerogative of landowners (except for open space parcel A).

Mr. Adams stated that adjacent land uses to the proposed subdivision are: on three sides by large unsubdivided parcels, many of which have some form of conservation restrictions emphasizing habitat protection. Trail links may be possible through many of these parcels.

Mr. Adams reviewed municipal impacts occurring from this proposal: total new residents predicted equals 99, Chilmark portion having 53 new residents at buildout and West Tisbury portion 46 new residents; increase in school aged children: Chilmark 12 and West Tisbury 11 totalling 23 school children; increase in traffic generation, based on Trip Generation, ITE (1983), 28 new lots would generate 280 new trips per day with a range of 120 to 613 new trips per day. Further, he stated that MVC staff traffic counts for July 1987 show that trips originating in the Tea Lane area divide into 63% bound for North Road and 37% bound for Middle Road. Trips to North Road would proceed via the shortest distance which is through Roth Woodlands, unless controls are instituted by the landowner.

Regarding Fire, Police and Emergency access Mr. Adams stated the West Tisbury Fire Chief expressed the need for an additional fire truck stationed on North Road to protect this site and other existing subdivisions in the area. Present access is called inadequate to insure proper emergency response. The West Tisbury Fire Chief questions whether Chilmark road standards will accommodate West Tisbury services. Also that the Applicant contends that police and fire services for this subdivision represent no new problems that don't already exist in other areas. And, that West Tisbury Fire Chief suggests access to Mill Brook as a water source for firefighting in addition to the proposed hydrant lot in West Tisbury. Another hydrant lot may be advisable in Chilmark near the subdivision entrance.

Mr. Adams stated the applicant proposes to transfer the 1.7 acre resident homesite lot to the Dukes County Regional Housing Authority and to build the finished exterior shell of a house on that site. The applicant will also acquire another resident lot off site in West Tisbury for transfer.

Mr. Adams then summarized correspondence which has been received regarding the Chilmark portion of the proposal since the close of the public hearing as follows: To: MVC FROM: Everett N. Jones and Virginia C. Jones, abutters, dated 7, April 1988 - Notes that proposed project density in the southern Chilmark parcel is 58% higher than the rest of the proposal and that build-out of the entire subdivision at that density would result in 43 house sites. They feel an unfair burden is placed on southern abutters and urge rejection of the plan; To: MVC, From: Tom Chase, Dated 26 April 88 - Reports studies of the endangered orchid found on the Mill Brook site, conducted under contract to the Mass. Natural Heritage Program. Notes unusual features of the plants life cycle that make the Island population important to conserve; To: MVC, From: Thomas C. Mendenhall, President, Sheriffs Meadow Foundation, Date: 4 May 88 - Elaborates, for the second time, negative impacts of the proposal on natural resources. Also points out: 1) The Foundation will minimize use of Roth Woodlands Road by what means available, 2) The Mill Brook plan invites use and verburdening of the road, 3) Proposal under values natural, historical, ecological and cultural values of the site, 4) The MVC has no obligation to
hail out a developer, especially since he was fully aware of the problems facing the site before he acquired it; To: MVC, From: William Goldsmith Date 3 May 88 - Encloses 5 telegrams from Tea Lane residents opposing the project and reports that no Town residents have spoken in favor of the proposal. He notes that many objections of September 1986 have never been answered, particularly the adequacy of access and burdens on Chilmark of servicing a West Tisbury parcel; To: Carol Borer, From: Robert Schafer, Dated 6 May 88 - Forwarding a land plan memorandum and a traffic study by Michael Burke, P.E., substance of these reports has been incorporated into the presentation. The traffic study reports that improvements should be made to Tea Lane and Old Farm Road and that these improvements would benefit existing and proposed developments and To: Carol Borer, From: Robert Schafer, Dated, 18 May 88 - Notes that the applicant feels his preliminary plan in West Tisbury presents all the regional issues and no additional review of a definitive plan should be required by the MVC.

Mr. Adams then summarized correspondence received since the close of the public hearing regarding the West Tisbury parcel of Mill Brook Trust as follows: To: Carol Borer, From: Robert Schafer, Dated, 19 April 88 - Notes that the hearing record will be open until May 7th provided that the applicant has a reasonable period to comment on information provided; To: Carol Borer, From: Bruce Sorrie, MA Natural Heritage Program, Dated, 13 June 88 - Reports his visit to the Mill Brook site on 11 June 88, to observe rare plants, habitat and review ecological importance of the site. In addition to confirming the statewide and regional importance of the site for rare orchid, he lists 9 additional rare plants located on site. He states his preference for acquisition of the West Tisbury parcel and that his agency will commit significant funds towards the purchase if necessary. He notes that covenants and deed restrictions provide uncertain protection and require much long term effort to enforce.

Mr. Adams stated correspondence from Town Boards submitted following the close of the public hearing are: To: Carol Borer, From: Margaret Littlefield, West Tisbury Conservation Commission, Dated: April 88 - Found that Mill Brook Trust wetlands are pervasive and crucial to the balance of the Mill Brook Watershed, and the larger ecosystem. They are opposed to subdivision use of Roth Woodlands Lane; To: MVC, From: David Merry, West Tisbury Board of Health, Dated: 12 April 88 - Registers concern about on-site wetlands and questions the ability of lots 1-7 to meet Board of Health regulations for percolation. Questions suitability for subdivision. To: MVC, From: David Douglas, West Tisbury Planning Board, Dated 26 April 88 - If subdivision access problems were solved to satisfaction of Chilmark, they could for see approval of a maximum of 5-6 lots in the southern half of West Tisbury land. Lot reduction is advised due to large wetlands, endangered species habitat and historical archeological significance of the area. Enclosed are WT Planning Board minutes of discussion with the applicant; To: MVC, From: Chilmark Planning Board, Dated: 27 April 88 - Engineering Report on Tea Lane by John Lolley, P.E. of Tom Wallace and Co. The report lists surfacing, drainage, and width problems and includes costs for remedy.

Following the staff presentation, Mr. Lynch asked if Commissioners had any questions for staff.

Mr. Ewing asked if there was a specific line which depicts where the rare species are located. Mr. Adams referenced a plan and stated the MA Heritages recommendation was to not allow or to protect through acquisition all the land north of the fork in the road. He stated the applicant has
Submitted a more detailed plan of the West Tisbury parcel which includes building envelopes and setbacks in front of the wetland area. Also he has included delineation of existing trails and proposes maintaining them with limited access to Wascosim's Rock and then pointed out proposed trail systems through site. Further that proposed locations of septic systems and wells are now shown on the plan.

Mr. Ewing referencing letter from Chilmark Planning Board regarding density to Old Farm Road, asked Mr. Adams to define full capacity. Mr. Adams stated this a Planning Board judgement, he stated that based on existing houses and existing lots and potential lots there is a potential for a total of 95 lots in this area, 67 lots using Old Farm Road.

Mr. Ferraguzzi asked if the off site affordable housing lot offered off site is of the same value as a lot within the subdivision? Mr. Adams stated this is unknown as it was discussed. He did state there was discussion of possible winter access problems into this subdivision.

Mr. Ewing asked if the Open Space area overlaps the Wascosim's Rock DCPC. Mr. Adams answered yes at the ridge top.

Mr. Evans asked Mr. Adams to depict on the plan where the landcourt line is. Mr. Adams referencing the plan, stated the landcourt refers to those lots having deed rights to Roth Woodlands Road which includes all of the West Tisbury side and the northern part of the Chilmark parcel. Further he stated that during discussion at LUPC the applicant has agreed to cooperate with an agreement to close the Roth Woodlands Road if all others with deeded rights do the same.

Mrs. Eber referencing the MA Heritage Programs recommendation asked if there should be no build in the 37 acres area? Mr. Adams stated that MA Heritage would prefer no building in this area as they are of State wide significance. He stated that this is an approximate acreage.

Mr. Lynch then asked for the Land Use Planning Committee's recommendation.

Mr. Young stated following 5 lengthy Land Use Planning Committee (LUPC) meetings, the Committee has come up with two recommendations one for the West Tisbury Parcel and one for the Chilmark parcel of Mill Brook Trust subdivision. The consensus of the LUPC is as follows:

WEST TISBURY:

THAT THE PROBABLE DETRIMENT FROM THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT WILL EXCEED THE PROBABLE BENEFITS BECAUSE:

15a) development at the proposed location is neither essential nor especially appropriate given the ecological sensitivity and conservation value of the West Tisbury parcel.

15b) development in the manner proposed is likely to have an adverse impact both on habitat of plant species identified as critically significant by the Natural Heritage Program, and on the headwaters of the Mill Brook due both to extensive development in close proximity with wetlands and to the use of the Roth Woodlands road as an access road.

15c) the proposed development will adversely affect both the residents of Old Farm Road and Tea Lane, and the Town of Chilmark by adding the traffic associated with this development in West Tisbury to an already critically overburdened access road system, and further, that it will adversely affect the Roth Woodlands Road and conflict with the
concerns of Sheriff's Meadow Foundation that the use of this road to access subdivisions be limited.

15e) the proposed development may adversely affect the provision of municipal services and is therefore not seen as being in the interests of the health, safety and general welfare of its future residents in that emergency response to the proposed development is through the Town of Chilmark via roads which, by virtue of either their length or general condition, are a cause of concern to fire and emergency medical personnel.

15g) the proposed development is in conflict with the West Tisbury Master Plans goals and objectives regarding protection of significant flora and as evidenced by a proposed wetlands by-law and a proposed flexible zoning by-law.

The LUPC has considered approval with conditions according to the West Tisbury Planning Board's request for no more than 5-7 lots, but has decided that such a change in the proposal would constitute the creation of, and therefore require the submittal of, an altogether new plan. LUPC consequently recommends denial of the Form B proposal.

AND,

CHILMARK:

15a) that, given the ecological sensitivity and conservation value of the Chilmark parcel, residential development is appropriate only in the southern portion of the proposal.

15b) that the above restriction would result in a more favorable environmental impact than the existing proposal or other alternative manners of development.

15c) that the development as proposed will adversely effect both the residents of Old Farm Road and Tea Lane, and the Town of Chilmark by adding the traffic associated with this development to an already critically overburdened access road system, and, further, that it will adversely affect the Roth Woodlands Road and conflict with the concerns of Sheriff's Meadow Foundation that the use of the road to access subdivisions be limited. Restricting house sites to the southerly portion of the parcel and possible further reduction of the density would mitigate these detrimental effects.

15d) that the proposed development is in compliance with the Commission's Affordable Housing Policy.

15e) that the proposed development may adversely affect the provision of municipal services in that emergency response to the subdivision is over roads which, because of their length or general condition, are a cause of concern to fire and emergency medical personnel.

5g) that the development as proposed is in conflict with the Chilmark Master Plan goals and objectives regarding wetlands, watersheds,
vegetation cover and natural resource protection and the Open Space Plan. Such conflicts would be largely addressed by eliminating the lots near the wetlands and ensuring minimal impact of the development on the Wascosim's Rock DCPC.

The LUPC, in accordance with the above, recommends approval of the development with conditions which should:

- Limit building sites to the southerly portion of the parcel.
- address affordable housing
- address open space management
- address management of trail system

The LUPC, further suggests that the Commission discuss additional reduction of numbers of lots, and possible impact on Wascosim's Rock DCPC.

Mr. Ferraguzzi asked how many lots does this recommendation leave in Chilmark. Mr. Young answered 13 lots in the southerly portion including the affordable housing lot and zero in West Tisbury as the LUPC recommendation is denial.

Mr. Jason stated that he feels the LUPC recommendations do not make sense to deny one parcel and approve the other: this proposal falls within the special overlay district and a problem with density already exists on Tea Lane with motor vehicles. He stated that both parcels should be denied and that the applicant should come back with one plan that addresses the density. He stated that on paper the Applicant's proposal regarding no vehicular access on Roth Woodlands Road looks good, however he feels this will not work.

Mr. Young stated the rationale is that lots in the southerly portion do not have deeded access, therefore the MVC will not be adding to Sheriffs Meadow battle to try and close Roth Woodlands by approving the Chilmark portion.

Mr. Ferraguzzi stated he agrees with Mr. Jason's comments.

Mr. Evans stated he agrees with Mr. Jason and Mr. Evans that he feels the Chilmark portion with modifications/conditions could be approved and the West Tisbury parcel could be denied.

There was discussion as to why the plan was being reviewed in two parts instead of one and the reason why the MVC asked the applicant to submit the West Tisbury portion.

Mrs. Scott stated that it is hard to come up with appropriate numbers without looking at a plan for the other parcel. She stated that concern for traffic on Tea Lane is a big issue and feels that this proposal should be looked at as one.

Mr. Ferraguzzi questioned whether the two parcels could be looked at as one since it is within two towns. Mr. Jason stated that he feels the Planning Boards could work this out.

Mr. Morgan stated that he agrees with Mrs. Scott, however he does not care if it comes back as one or two but they must be reviewed as one as there are complex issues.

There was further discussion of future review.

Mr. Evans stated that he feels it is important to look at the entire proposal as one and in fairness to the applicant the MVC needs to indicate it is important that there is no build proposed in the West Tisbury Parcel.
Mr. Morgan stated that denial has been done in the past and Martha's Vineyard, in most cases, has done better.

Mr. Harris stated that the applicant should be given some indication as to the what might be acceptable.

Mr. Jason stated that the Town Boards have strong feelings regarding density and the applicant has chosen to ignore this issue.

ITEM #6 - Possible Vote

Mill Brook Trust DRI - West Tisbury

Motion to direct the executive director to draft a written decision denying the Mill Brook Trust (West Tisbury Parcel) DRI as proposed. Seconded. Reasons stated as eluded to in the Land Use Planning Committee recommendation Sections 15a, b, c, e, g and Mr. Evans added 15h referencing MA Natural Heritage comments.

Mr. Young then reread the LUPC recommendation. Mr. Young added sec. 15h the development as proposed contravenes land development objectives of the MA Natural Heritage Program. He stated that none of this addresses the southerly portion in West Tisbury and if this is to offer the applicant guidelines on a resubmittal you are likely to see as a result of this lots in that parcel and if the MVC does not want to see building on this parcel it ought to be stated right out.

There being no further comments, Mr. Lynch restated the motion to deny and on a roll call vote the motion carried with a vote of 13 in favor 1 abstention (Eber) (Allen, Harris & Geller abstained).

Mill Brook Trust DRI - Chilmark

Motion to direct the executive director to draft a written decision denying the Mill Brook Trust (Chilmark Parcel) DRI as proposed. Seconded. For the following reasons: Section 15a, b, c, e, g.

Mr. Jason asked if rare species have been identified in the Town of Chilmark. Mr. Young answered in the affirmative in that the Chilmark wetland is contiguous to and supports the species habitat.

Mr. Lynch restated the motion to deny and on a roll call vote the motion carried with a vote of 12 in favor, 1 opposed, 1 abstention (Eber), (Allen, Harris and Geller abstained).

Following the votes on the Mill Brook DRI decisions, Mr. Early returned to the meeting.

ITEM #7 - New Business

Mrs. Borer stated that this evening Secretary Hoyte, EOEAl John G. Early, Chairman MVC and Carol Borer, MVC Executive Director, will be signing the MCZN Contract for July 1, 1988 - June 30, 1989. The MVC will be assisting the State office of Coastal Zone Management in enforcing their policies and providing technical assistance here on the Vineyard for fiscal 1988 - 1989.

ITEM #8 - Correspondence - There was none.
There being no further business the meeting adjourned at 10:00 P.M.

ATTEST

John G. Early, Chairman

J. Woodward Filley, Clerk/Treasurer

ATTENDANCE:

Present: Jason, Lynch, Widdiss, Filley, West, Young, Eber, Ferraguzzi, Evans, Scott, Early, Ewing, Lee, Morgan, McCavitt, Allen, Harris, Geller

Absent: Custer, Wey, Delaney, Harney