

THE MARTHA'S VINEYARD COMMISSION

BOX 1447 • OAK BLUFFS
MASSACHUSETTS 02557
(508) 693-3453
FAX (508) 693-7894

MINUTES OF February 20, 1991

MARTHA'S VINEYARD COMMISSION MEETING

The Martha's Vineyard commission joined, by invitation, with the Tisbury Planning Board for the purposes of discussing procedures and policies.

Mr. Barwick of the Tisbury Planning Board called the "joint" hearing to order at 8:01 p.m. and introduced the members of the Planning Board present.

The Commission representatives introduced themselves.

Mr. Barwick set the ground rules for the so-called joint public hearing. He then proceeded to discuss the problems that the Tisbury Planning Board has with the procedures used by the Commission.

Ms. Greene explained the MVC rules and procedures.

The Board then discussed the matter extensively and offered a number of suggestions as to some changes to the administrative procedures.

Ms. Greene discussed the matter at length. (Chairman, MVC)

The Board felt that they should be the ones to make the initial decision.

Mr. Jason discussed the procedures used presently.

Mr. Barwick then opened the floor for comments from the Public.

Robert Maciel - felt that it made sense to have the local board make the first decision and not the MVC.

Tristan Isreal - likes the DRI procedures as they are currently; feels MVC better equipped to investigate each issue or proposal.

Gino Montessi - questioned whether all DRIs are treated the same; does not like process; complained about entire permit process at all levels of government.

Robert Maciel - discussed his permit process and thanked MVC for assisting with restoration of dredge permits.

Mr. Barwick offered an alternative approach to the review procedures. Mr. Schweikert, MVC, felt there was some merit to discussing the alternatives.

Cora Medeiros - discussed traffic report and needs of Town.

A discussion of the DRI process followed.

Doug Hoehn - discussed project application procedures and the methods used to deem them complete; discussed how the applicant can address each of the issues.

Edith Eber - discussed application procedures and the problems with continuation of hearing due to lack of data; she discussed differences between the MVC and the Planning Board; she feels that the Planning Board needs the staff input to aid them - MVC staff input essential.

